Sierra Club et al v. Regina McCarthy

Doc. 163

WHEREAS, on August 26, 2013, Plaintiffs Sierra Club and Natural Resources Defense
Council ("Plaintiffs") filed the complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter against
Defendant Regina McCarthy in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States
Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") alleging that EPA has failed to undertake a certain
nondiscretionary duty under the Clean Air Act ("CAA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401-7671q, and that
such alleged failure is actionable under section 304(a)(2) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2);

WHEREAS, within one year after promulgation of a revised national ambient air quality standard ("NAAQS"), section 107(d)(1)(A) of the CAA directs states to submit lists of initial designations of all areas, or portions thereof, as attainment, nonattainment, or unclassifiable for the revised NAAQS, 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(A);

WHEREAS, EPA is required to promulgate designations for all areas of the country (including tribal areas and certain U.S. territories) within two years of promulgation of the revised NAAQS, or within three years if EPA has insufficient information to promulgate the designations within two years, pursuant to section 107(d)(1)(B) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(B);

WHEREAS, EPA is required to publish a notice in the Federal Register promulgating designations, pursuant to section 107(d)(2)(A) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(2)(A);

WHEREAS, EPA revised the primary NAAQS for sulfur dioxide ("SO₂") on June 2, 2010, *see* 75 Fed. Reg. 35,520 (June 22, 2010);

WHEREAS, states were directed to submit their area designations for the 2010 revised primary SO₂ NAAQS by June 2, 2011, *see* 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,385/col. 2;

WHEREAS, on August 3, 2012, EPA invoked the additional year to issue designations, *see* 77 Fed. Reg. 46,295 (Aug. 3, 2012);

WHEREAS, on August 5, 2013, EPA published designations under the 2010 revised primary SO₂ NAAQS for twenty-nine areas in sixteen states, thus removing such areas from the potential scope of the above-captioned matter, *see* 78 Fed. Reg. 47,191 (Aug. 5, 2013);

WHEREAS, the Complaint alleges that EPA has a nondiscretionary duty to promulgate and publish the remaining area designations for the 2010 revised primary SO₂ NAAQS within

26

27

28

the time lines set forth in section 107(d)(1)(B) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d)(1)(B),
(d)(2)(A);
WHEREAS, on October 29, 2013, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on

WHEREAS, on November 12, 2013, EPA filed its response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment and did not dispute the claim of liability;

WHEREAS, on December 6, 2013, the Court granted Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment, and directed the parties to meet and confer on the remedy;

WHEREAS, the Plaintiffs and EPA have agreed to a settlement of this action;

WHEREAS, the Plaintiffs and EPA, by entering into this Consent Decree, do not waive or limit any claim or defense, on any grounds, related to any EPA final action;

WHEREAS, the Plaintiffs and EPA consider this Consent Decree to be an adequate and equitable resolution of all the claims in this matter;

WHEREAS, it is in the interest of the public, the Plaintiffs and EPA, and judicial economy to resolve this matter without unnecessary protracted litigation;

WHEREAS, the Administrator has proposed and anticipates promulgating a rulemaking that would direct states to conduct additional information collection and analyses regarding certain stationary sources of SO₂, for purposes of informing future area designations under the 2010 revised primary SO₂ NAAQS, see 79 Fed. Reg. 27,449 (May 13, 2014);

WHEREAS, the Plaintiffs and EPA agree that this Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the citizen suit provision of section 304(a)(2) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2);

WHEREAS, the Court, by entering this Consent Decree, finds that the Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, in the public interest, and consistent with the CAA;

NOW THEREFORE, before the taking of testimony, without trial or determination of any additional issue of fact or law that the Court has not already addressed in this matter, and upon consent of the Plaintiffs and EPA, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed, that:

- 1. EPA shall sign for publication in the Federal Register no later than sixteen (16) months from the date of this Court's entry of this Order a notice of EPA's promulgation of designations for the 2010 revised primary SO₂ NAAQS pursuant to section 107(d) of the CAA, and within ten (10) business days following such signature deliver the notice to the Office of the Federal Register for review and prompt publication, for remaining undesignated areas which:
 - (a) based on air quality monitoring in the three (3) full calendar years preceding such deadline have monitored violations of the 2010 revised primary SO_2 NAAQS; or
 - (b) contain any stationary source that has not been "announced for retirement" pursuant to subparagraph (c) by the date of this Consent Decree, and that, according to the data in EPA's Air Markets Database, either (1) emitted more than 16,000 tons of SO₂ in 2012, or (2) emitted more than 2,600 tons of SO₂ and had an annual average emission rate of 0.45 lbs SO₂/Mmbtu or higher in 2012; where
 - (c) "announced for retirement" means any stationary source in the United States with a coal-fired unit that as of January 1, 2010, had a capacity of over five (5) megawatts (MW) and that has announced that it will cease burning coal at that unit through a company public announcement, public utilities commission filing, consent decree, public legal settlement, final state or federal permit filing, or other similar means of communication.
- 2. EPA shall sign for publication in the Federal Register no later than December 31, 2017, a notice of EPA's promulgation of designations for the 2010 revised primary SO₂ NAAQS pursuant to section 107(d) of the CAA, and within ten (10) business days following such signature deliver the notice to the Office of the Federal Register for review and prompt publication, for remaining undesignated areas in which, by January 1, 2017, states have not installed and begun operating a new SO₂ monitoring network meeting EPA specifications

referenced in EPA's anticipated rulemaking directing states to collect and analyze additional information regarding SO₂ emissions concentrations.

- 3. EPA shall sign for publication in the Federal Register no later than December 31, 2020, a notice of EPA's promulgation of designations for the 2010 revised primary SO₂ NAAQS pursuant to section 107(d) of the CAA, and within ten (10) business days following such signature deliver the notice to the Office of the Federal Register for review and publication, for all remaining undesignated areas.
- 4. After EPA's obligations under Paragraphs 1 through 3 have been completed, and after the notices required by Paragraphs 1 through 3 have been published in the Federal Register, EPA may move to terminate the Consent Decree. The Plaintiffs shall have fourteen (14) days in which to respond to such motion.
- 5. Following delivery of the notices of promulgation of designations described in Paragraphs 1 through 3 to the Office of the Federal Register, EPA shall not take any action (other than is necessary to correct any typographical errors or other errors in form) to delay or otherwise interfere with publication of such notices in the Federal Register. EPA shall make available to the Plaintiffs copies of the notices within five (5) business days following signature by the Administrator.
- 6. The Plaintiffs and EPA may extend the deadlines established in Paragraphs 1 through 3 for a period of sixty (60) days or less by written stipulation executed by counsel for the Plaintiffs and EPA and filed with the Court. In addition, the deadlines established in Paragraphs 1 through 3 may be extended by the Court upon motion by any party to this Consent Decree for good cause shown, after consideration of any response by the non-moving party to this Consent Decree. Any party to this Consent Decree seeking to extend deadlines by motion and without stipulation must provide written notice to all other parties to this Consent Decree of the deadlines the party is seeking to extend at least ten (10) business days prior to filing with the Court such motion. No motion to extend a deadline shall be considered properly filed unless notice pursuant to this Paragraph is provided, or the moving party demonstrates why it could not have provided the advance written notice.

- 7. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to limit or modify the discretion accorded EPA by the CAA and by general principles of administrative law, including the discretion to alter, amend or revise any response and/or final action contemplated by this Consent Decree. EPA's obligations to take the actions set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 3 by the dates specified do not constitute limitations or modifications of EPA's discretion within the meaning of this paragraph.
- 8. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to confer upon the District Court jurisdiction to review any final decision made by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to confer upon the District Court jurisdiction to review any issues that are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States Court of Appeals pursuant to section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1). Nothing in the terms of this Consent Decree shall be construed to waive any remedies or defenses the parties may have under CAA section 307(b)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1).
- 9. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Consent Decree and to consider any requests for costs of litigation, including attorneys' fees.
- 10. In the event of a dispute between the parties to this Consent Decree concerning the interpretation or implementation of any aspect of this Consent Decree, the disputing party shall provide the other party with a written notice outlining the nature of the dispute and requesting informal negotiations. If the parties cannot reach an agreed-upon resolution within ten (10) business days after receipt of notice, any party may move the Court to resolve the dispute.
- 11. No motion or other proceeding seeking to enforce this Consent Decree shall be considered properly filed, unless the Plaintiffs have followed the procedure set forth in Paragraph 10.
- 12. The United States, on behalf of EPA, agrees that the Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their costs of litigation (including reasonable attorneys' fees) ("litigation costs") incurred in this matter pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7604(d). The deadline for filing a motion for litigation costs is hereby extended until 120 days after entry of this Consent Decree by the

Court. During this time, the Plaintiffs and EPA shall seek to resolve informally any claim for litigation costs, and if they cannot reach a resolution, the Plaintiffs may seek such litigation costs from the Court. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to resolve any request for litigation costs.

- 13. The obligations imposed upon EPA by this Consent Decree may only be undertaken using appropriated funds. No provisions of this Consent Decree shall be interpreted as or constitute a commitment or requirement that EPA obligate or pay funds in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341, or any other applicable federal law.
- 14. The parties recognize that the possibility exists that a lapse in the appropriations that fund EPA could delay compliance with the timetables contained in this Consent Decree. Should a delay occur due to a lapse in appropriations, any deadlines occurring within ninety (90) days of the termination of the delay shall be extended one day for each day of the delay. EPA will provide Plaintiffs with notice as soon as is reasonably possible under the circumstances in the event that EPA invokes this Paragraph of the Consent Decree and will provide Plaintiffs with an explanation of EPA's basis for invoking this Paragraph. Plaintiffs may challenge the invocation of this Paragraph of the Consent Decree under the dispute resolution terms of this Consent Decree, and EPA shall bear the burden of justifying its invocation of this Paragraph.
- 15. The Plaintiffs and EPA shall not challenge the terms of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter this Consent Decree.
- 16. The Plaintiffs and EPA agree and acknowledge that before this Consent Decree is entered by the Court, EPA must provide notice of this Consent Decree in the Federal Register and provide an opportunity for public comment pursuant to section 113(g) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(g). After this Consent Decree has undergone notice and comment, the Administrator and/or the Attorney General, as appropriate, shall promptly consider any such written comments in determining whether to withdraw or withhold their consent to the Consent Decree, in accordance with section 113(g) of the CAA. If the Administrator and/or the

1	Attorney General do not elect to withdraw and withhold their consent, EPA shall promptly file
2	a motion that requests the Court to enter this Consent Decree.
3	17. Any notices required or provided by this Consent Decree shall be made in
4	writing, via facsimile, e-mail, or other means, and sent to the following:
5	For Plaintiffs:
6	Nicholas Morales
7	David S. Baron Earthjustice
8	1625 Massachusetts Ave., STE 702
9	Washington, DC 20036 nmorales@earthjustice.org
10	dbaron@earthjustice.org
11	Fax: 202-667-2356
12	Zachary M. Fabish The Sierra Club
13	50 F Street, NW – 8th Floor
14	Washington, DC 20001 zachary.fabish@sierraclub.org
15	Fax: 202-547-6009
16	Emily K. Davis
17	Natural Resources Defense Council 1152 15th Street, NW, Suite 300
18	Washington, DC 20005
19	edavis@nrdc.org Fax: 202-289-1060
20	
21	For Defendant:
22	Martha C. Mann
	United States Department of Justice
23	Environmental Defense Section P.O. Box 7611
24	Washington, DC 20044
25	martha.mann@usdoj.gov Fax: 202-514-8865
26	
27	

1 2	Michael Thrift United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of General Counsel
te .	Air and Radiation Law Office (2344-A)
3	1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20460
4	thrift.mike@epa.gov
5	
6	18. The undersigned representatives of each party to this Consent Decree certify
7	that they are fully authorized by the party that they represent to bind that party to the terms of
8	this Consent Decree.
9	
10	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS:
11	Dated: 8/8/2014 Micholas Morales
12	NICHOLAS MORALES, Admitted Pro Hac Vice
13	DAVID S. BARON, Admitted Pro Hac Vice
14	Earthjustice 1625 Massachusetts Ave, STE 702
15	Washington, DC 20036 dbaron@earthjustice.org ,
16	nmorales@earthjustice.org Tel: 202-667-4500/Fax: 202-667-2356
17	
18	PAUL R. CORT, State Bar No. 184336 Earthjustice
19	50 California Street San Francisco, CA 94111
20	pcort@earthjustice.org igutierrez@earthjustice.org
21	Tel: 415-217-2000/Fax: 415-217-2040
22	ZACHARY M. FABISH, State Bar No. 247535
23	Staff Attorney The Sierra Club
24	50 F Street, NW - 8th Floor
25	Washington, DC 20001 zachary.fabish@sierraclub.org Tel: 202-675-7917/Fax: 202-547-6009
26	Tel: 202-6/5-7917/Fax: 202-547-6009
27	
28	
VICTOR 0000	

1	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT:
2	Dated: August 8, 2014
3	SAM HIRSCH
4	Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division
5	MADTILA C. MANDI
6	MARTHA C. MANN United States Department of Justice
7	Environment & Natural Resources Division P.O. Box 7611
8	Washington, D.C. 20044
9	Tel: (202) 514-2664 E-mail: martha.mann@usdoj.gov
10	
11	Counsel for Defendant EPA
12	
13	
14	SO ORDERED on this 2nd day of March , 2014.
15	SO ORDERED on this 2nd day of, 2014.
16	C. \ \ \
17	Shan Deliton
18	SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	