

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VONDELL BANKERT, *et al.*,

No. C-13-4003 EMC

Plaintiffs,

v.

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS’  
MOTION TO STAY**

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB CO., *et al.*,

**(Docket No. 11)**

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Plaintiffs have filed suit against Defendants for, *inter alia*, strict liability, negligence, breach of warranty, and fraud. The claims are all related to the ingestion of a drug known as Plavix. Those defendants who have appeared (*i.e.*, Bristol-Myers and the Sanofi entities) have moved the Court to stay proceedings in this case because they have “tagged [the] case for transfer [to the Plavix MDL] and anticipate that the JPML will issue a conditional transfer order for [the] case soon.” Mot. at 5. Moving Defendants note that twelve different judges in this District – including the undersigned – have granted stays in similar Plavix actions pending transfer to the MDL. *See* Reply at 2. Moving Defendants also note that the JPML has, for many similar Plavix cases, issued conditional transfer and/or transfer orders.

As Moving Defendants note, this Court previously granted a stay in multiple cases pending a decision by the MDL as to whether the cases should be part of the Plavix MDL. *See* Docket No. 11 (Ex. D) (order in *Kinney v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.*, No. C-12-4477 EMC (N.D. Cal.) and related cases). Other judges in this District have done the same. The reasoning in those cases is largely

1 applicable here, and Plaintiffs have failed to convince the Court that a stay would otherwise be  
2 inappropriate.

3           Accordingly, the Court hereby **GRANTS** Defendants' motion to stay. Plaintiffs' motion to  
4 remand is deferred until after the JPML decides whether the case should remain here or be  
5 transferred to the Plavix MDL. The hearing on both the motion to stay and the motion to remand is  
6 hereby **VACATED**.

7           This order disposes of Docket No. 11.

8  
9           IT IS SO ORDERED.

10

11 Dated: October 10, 2013

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23


24

25

26

27

28

  
EDWARD M. CHEN  
United States District Judge