

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AARON VELARDE, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
UNION CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, et
al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-04011-JD](#)


**ORDER CONDITIONALLY
DISMISSING CASE**

The Court was advised that the parties settled and previously vacated all trial deadlines. Dkt. No. 203. Consequently, the Court dismisses this case without prejudice. If any party certifies to the Court within **30** days from the date of this order that the agreed consideration for the settlement of this action has not been delivered, this order will be vacated and the case will be set for a case management conference. If no certification is filed, the dismissal will be deemed to be **with prejudice** after 30 days.

The parties are directed not to ask the Court for a “dismissal with prejudice” at any time after this order, or to ask for an order confirming dismissal under FRCP 41(a)(1). No Court order is necessary for dismissal under that rule.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 3, 2017



JAMES DONATO
United States District Judge