

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME L. GRIMES,	)	No. C 13-4045 JSW (PR)
Plaintiff,	)	<b>ORDER OF DISMISSAL</b>
v.	)	(Docket No. 2, 4)
GENTRY, et al.,	)	
Defendants.	)	

---

Plaintiff, an inmate in the San Francisco County Jail and frequent litigator in this Court, has recently filed this pro se civil rights case. On May 18, 2000, this Court informed Plaintiff that under the "three-strikes" provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) he generally is ineligible to proceed *in forma pauperis* in federal court with civil actions filed while he is incarcerated. *See Grimes v. Oakland Police Dept.*, C 00-1100 CW (Order Dismissing Complaint, 5/18/00). Since then, Plaintiff has continued to file hundreds of civil rights actions seeking *in forma pauperis* status. With respect to each action filed, the Court conducts a preliminary review to assess the nature of the allegations and to determine whether Plaintiff alleges facts which bring him within the "imminent danger of serious physical injury" exception to § 1915(g). In the past, Plaintiff has routinely been granted leave to amend to pay the full filing fee and to state cognizable claims for relief, but he has habitually failed to do so. For example, in 2003 alone Plaintiff's failure to comply resulted in the dismissal of approximately thirty-six actions under § 1915(g).

1 In accord with this ongoing practice, the Court has reviewed the allegations in the  
2 present action and finds that Plaintiff alleges no facts which bring him within the  
3 "imminent danger" clause. The complaint makes a number of highly implausible or  
4 unintelligible allegations, such as "food chain terror" and "dirty bomb pinch of  
5 risin/minatingitis/super bug bacillius/hair mange/etc.." On numerous occasions, Plaintiff  
6 has been informed that allegations such as these neither establish imminent danger nor  
7 state cognizable claims for relief. Therefore, it would be futile to grant Plaintiff leave to  
8 amend.

9 Accordingly, this case is DISMISSED without prejudice under § 1915(g). The  
10 application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is DENIED. No fee is due. If Plaintiff is so  
11 inclined, he may bring his claims in a new action accompanied by the \$400.00 filing fee.  
12 In any event, the Court will continue to review under § 1915(g) all future actions filed by  
13 Plaintiff while he is incarcerated in which he seeks *in forma pauperis* status.

14 The Clerk of the Court shall close the files and terminate all pending motions in  
15 the cases listed in the caption of this order.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 DATED: October 3, 2013

18   
19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 JEFFREY S. WHITE  
21 United States District Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE  
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
4

5 JEROME L GRIMES,  
6 Plaintiff,  
7

Case Number: CV13-04045 JSW

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

8 v.


9 GENTRY et al,  
10 Defendant.  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

11 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District  
12 Court, Northern District of California.

13 That on October 3, 2013, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said  
14 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing  
15 said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery  
16 receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

17 Jerome L. Grimes  
18 1 Moreland Drive  
19 #13672741 #408761  
20 San Bruno, CA 94066

21 Dated: October 3, 2013

  
Richard W. Wieking, Clerk  
By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk