

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICK COTTER, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
LYFT, INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. [13-cv-04065-VC](#)

ORDER

As discussed at today's case management conference, by no later than **June 12, 2014**, the parties are directed to file simultaneous briefs, not to exceed 10 pages, addressing whether the Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action. At a minimum, the briefs should address:

1. Whether there is a plausible basis for believing that greater than one-third of the members of the proposed class are not California residents;
2. Whether the California statutes upon which Plaintiffs base their claims apply to Lyft drivers in other states; and
3. If the California statutes do not apply to drivers outside California, whether the Court has any basis to exercise jurisdiction.


A hearing on whether the complaint plausibly states a claim under California law on behalf of a nationwide class, and on whether the Court has subject matter jurisdiction, is scheduled for **June 26, 2014 at 10:30 a.m.**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

In addition, any motions for summary judgment based on named plaintiff Patrick Cotter's claims are due **August 14, 2014**. Any motions for summary judgment based on class-wide claims, as well as any motion for class certification, are due **November 17, 2014**. Finally, the deadline to complete fact discovery in preparation for a motion on class certification is also **November 17, 2014**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 23, 2014



VINCE CHHABRIA
United States District Judge