

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICK COTTER, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

LYFT, INC.,  
Defendant.

Case No. [13-cv-04065-VC](#)

**ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO FILE  
UNDER SEAL**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 70, 73

A party seeking to seal a judicial record then bears the burden of overcoming a "strong presumption in favor of access." *Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks omitted). Here, neither motion to file under seal meets the "high threshold of showing that 'compelling reasons' support secrecy," *id.* at 1180. Accordingly, the motions are denied.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: March 11, 2015



---

VINCE CHHABRIA  
United States District Judge