

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

11 LARRY BUCK,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 KIM BROWER, JAMES ROHDE and
15 DEBBIE GAYNOR,

16 Defendants.

Case No. 13-cv-04084 NC

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
CASE SHOULD NOT BE
DISMISSED**

17
18 On September 11, 2013, defendants Kim Brower, James Rohde, and Debbie Gaynor
19 filed a motion to dismiss pro se plaintiff Larry Buck's complaint. Dkt. No. 4. Civil Local
20 Rule 7-3(a) requires parties to file and serve an opposition within fourteen days after a
21 motion is filed. In the alternative, a party who does not oppose the motion must file a
22 statement of nonopposition with the Court within fourteen days. Civ. L.R. 7-3(b). Where,
23 as in this case, the motion was not served through the Court's Electronic Case Filing (ECF)
24 system, *see* Dkt. No. 4-3, these deadlines are extended by 3 days. Civ. L.R. 7-3(a).

25 Accordingly, Buck's response to defendants' motion was due by September 30, 2013.

26 Buck has not filed a response. "Failure to follow a district court's local rules is a proper
27 ground for dismissal." *Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Accordingly,
28

Case No. 13-cv-04084 NC
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE


1 Buck has until October 11, 2013, to oppose defendants' motion to dismiss (or file a
2 statement of nonopposition) and to show cause why this action should not be dismissed.

3 Buck's failure to respond will result in dismissal of this case with prejudice.

4 Buck must also consent or decline the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge by October
5 11, 2013. See attached consent/declination forms.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Date: October 1, 2013

8 
9 _____
10 Nathanael M. Cousins
11 United States Magistrate Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28