Buck v. Brower et al Doc. 12

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 10 11 LARRY BUCK, Case No. 13-cv-04084 NC 12 Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CASE 13 v. 14 KIM BROWER, JAMES ROHDE and DEBBIE GAYNOR, 15 Defendants. 16 17 On September 11, 2013, defendants filed a motion to dismiss pro se plaintiff Larry 18 Buck's complaint. Dkt. No. 4. When Buck failed to respond to defendants' motion by the 19 September 30, 2013 deadline as required by the local rules, the Court issued an order giving 20 Buck until October 11, 2013, to file an opposition or a statement of nonopposition, and to 21 22 show cause why this action should not be dismissed. Dkt. No. 10. The order explained that 23 Buck's failure to respond will result in dismissal of this case. *Id.* Buck has not responded to the motion to dismiss or the Court's order to show cause. Accordingly, the Court 24 dismisses this case without prejudice. The parties have consented to the jurisdiction of a 25 26 United States Magistrate Judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). Dkt. Nos. 6, 11. 27 // 28 Case No. 13-cv-04084 NC ORDER DISMISSING CASE

1	The hearing on the motion to dismiss set for October 23, 2013 is vacated.
2	The clerk is ordered to terminate case No. 13-cv-04084 NC.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Date: October 18, 2013  Nothengal M. Cousing
5	Nathanael M. Cousins United States Magistrate Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	