

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEANEEN OSCAMOU,

Plaintiff,

No. C 13-04247 JSW

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,

Defendant.

**ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES  
TO INFORM COURT WHETHER  
THEY CONSENT TO  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR ALL  
PURPOSES**

\_\_\_\_\_ /  
In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. See Civil L.R. 73-1(b). Accordingly, the parties are hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than January 3, 2014, as to whether they consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action.<sup>1</sup> For the parties' convenience, consent forms are available at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>, in the "Forms" section. The

///  
///  
///  
///  
///  
///  
///

\_\_\_\_\_   
<sup>1</sup> Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their joint case management statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

parties are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 19, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JEFFREY S. WHITE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE