Case3:13-cv-04247-JSW Document20 Filed03/14/14 Page1 of 2

MELINDA L. HAAG, CSBN 132612 1 United States Attorney DONNA L. CALVERT 2 Acting Regional Chief Counsel, Region IX Social Security Administration 3 SHEA LITA BOND, SBN D.C. 469103 Special Assistant United States Attorney 4 160 Spear Street, Suite 800 5 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 977-8934 6 Facsimile: (415) 744-0134 E-Mail: Shea.Bond@ssa.gov 7 Attorneys for Defendant 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

JEANEEN OSCAMOU,

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR
REMAND PURSUANT TO SENTENCE
FOUR OF 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,
Acting Commissioner of Social
Security,

Defendant,

Defendant,

Defendant,

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties, through their respective counsel of record, that this action be remanded to the Acting Commissioner of Social Security for further administrative action pursuant to section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), sentence four.

On remand, the Appeals Council will instruct the administrative law judge (ALJ) to take the following action:

Provide the claimant with the opportunity for a new hearing. The ALJ should further: 1) order a new consultative mental status examination; 2) reevaluate all the medical opinion

evidence and, if necessary, obtain clarification of the medical opinions and if any portion of the opinion evidence is discounted, the ALJ will state the reasons for the discounting the opinion; 3) reevaluate the maximum residual functional capacity and provide appropriate rationale with specific reference to evidence of record in support of the assessed limitations; 4) reevaluate the claimant's credibility in accordance with Social Security Ruling 96-7p; and 5) if necessary, obtain supplemental vocational expert testimony regarding the claimant's ability to perform past relevant work or, alternatively, work that exists in significant numbers in the national economy and ensure that the vocational expert testimony does not conflict with the Dictionary of Occupational Titles in accordance with Social Security Ruling 00-4p. The parties further request that the Clerk of the Court be directed to enter a final judgment in favor of plaintiff, and against defendant, Commissioner of Social Security, reversing the final decision of the Commissioner. Respectfully submitted, Dated: March 13, 2014 /s/ David J. Linden

(As authorized via email on 03/13/14) DAVID J. LINDEN

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: March 13, 2014 MELINDA L. HAAG **United States Attorney**

> /s/ Shea Lita Bond_ SHEA LITA BOND Assistant United States Attorney

> > Juy S Whits

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: March 17, 2014

24 25

22

23

26