

1 JOHN F. CAVIN (Bar No. 88946)
 STEVEN J. KAHN (Bar No. 234104)
 2 Bardellini, Straw, Cavin & Bupp, LLP
 2000 Crow Canyon Place, Suite 330
 3 San Ramon, CA 94583
 Telephone: 925.277.3580
 4 Facsimile: 925.277.3591
 Email: *jcavin@bscb.com*
 5 *skahn@bscb.com*

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 THOMAS J. KERRINS d.b.a.
 7 SQUARE ONE REAL ESTATE & SQUARE ONE FINANCIAL

8 BRIAN D. HARRISON (Bar No. 157123)
 VEENA A. MITCHELL (Bar No. 161153)
 9 Sedgwick LLP
 333 Bush Street, 30th Floor
 10 San Francisco, CA 94104-2834
 Telephone: 415.781.7900
 11 Facsimile: 415.781.2635
 Email: *brian.harrison@sedgwicklaw.com*
 12 *veena.mitchell@sedgwicklaw.com*

13 Attorneys for Defendant
 SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY
 14

15 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 16 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO. 3:13-cv-04266-EMC
 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
 TO MODIFY BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON
 SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY'S
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

18 THOMAS J. KERRINS d.b.a. SQUARE
 ONE REAL ESTATE & SQUARE ONE
 19 FINANCIAL

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE
 COMPANY, and DOES 1 through 20,
 23 inclusive,

24 Defendants.
 25

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12, Plaintiff Thomas Kerrins d.b.a. Square One Real
2 Estate & Square One Financial (“Kerrins”) and Defendant Scottsdale Insurance Company
3 (“Scottsdale”), through their respective attorneys of record, stipulate and request that the Court
4 enter an order thereon, to modify the briefing schedule for Scottsdale’s summary judgment
5 motion, set for hearing on May 29, 2014, as follows: (1) continue the deadline for the opposition
6 to such motion to May 8, 2014; and (2) continue the deadline for the reply to such opposition to
7 the motion to May 15, 2014. In support of this stipulation, the parties state as follows:

8 1. On December 12, 2013, following a Case Management Conference, the Court
9 ordered as follows: “The case is referred to private mediation. Private mediation is to be
10 completed after MSJ is filed but no later than 5/9/14. ... Defendant’s motion for summary
11 judgment shall be filed by 3/6/14. Opposition due 3/20/14. Reply due 3/27/14. Motion hearing
12 and further CMC scheduled for 4/10/14 at 1:30 p.m. (subject to continuance if mediation is not
13 completed.) An updated joint CMC statement shall be filed by 4/3/14.” (ECF No. 19.)

14 2. On March 6, 2014, Scottsdale filed its summary judgment motion, noticing the
15 motion for hearing on April 10, 2014 at 1:30 p.m. (ECF Nos. 22 - 25.)

16 3. Scottsdale and Kerrins subsequently stipulated to request that the Court continue
17 the hearing on Scottsdale’s summary judgment motion to May 29, 2014, and for extensions of
18 time to oppose said motion and reply to said opposition. On March 17, 2014, the Court ordered
19 as follows: “The hearing for Scottsdale Insurance Company’s summary judgment motion is
20 continued to May 29, 2014 at 1:30 p.m. The opposition to the motion is due on May 1, 2014.
21 The reply is due on May 8, 2014. The Case Management Conference is continued to May 29,
22 2014 at 1:30 p.m. An updated CMC Statement shall be filed by May 22, 2014.” (ECF No. 27.)

23 4. Kerrins and Scottsdale also agreed to mediate the present matter jointly with the
24 mediation of the underlying action (*Gabriela Tatranska and Drahotin Tatransky v. Kerrins,*
25 Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG10507103), with Craig S. Meredith, Esq. of
26 JAMS San Francisco on April 30, 2014. At the mediation, the parties made some progress with
27 respect a settlement proposal, but all parties have yet to respond to such proposal.

28 5. Kerrins and Scottsdale have agreed it would be appropriate for Kerrins to have a


1 short extension to file his opposition to Scottsdale's summary judgment motion, and for
2 Scottsdale to have a similar short extension to file its reply to Kerrins' opposition, while the
3 parties await the responses by all parties to the pending proposal. Such extensions would obviate
4 the parties incurring further time and expense litigating Scottsdale's summary judgment motion
5 if the proposal is approved.

6 THEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that the Court enter an order thereon, to
7 modify the briefing schedule for Scottsdale's summary judgment motion, set for hearing on May
8 29, 2014, as follows: (1) continue the deadline for the opposition to such motion to May 8, 2014;
9 and (2) continue the deadline for the reply to such opposition to the motion to May 15, 2014.

10 IT IS SO STIPULATED, AGREED, AND RESPECTFULLY REQUESTED.

11
12 DATED: May 1, 2014

BARDELLINI, STRAW, CAVIN & BUPP, LLP

13
14 By: 
15 John F. Cavin
16 Steven J. Kahn
17 Attorneys for Plaintiff
THOMAS J. KERRINS d.b.a. SQUARE ONE
REAL ESTATE & SQUARE ONE FINANCIAL

18 DATED: May 1, 2014

SEDGWICK LLP

19
20 By: _____
21 Brian D. Harrison
22 Veena A. Mitchell
23 Attorneys for Defendant
24 SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY
25
26
27
28

1 short extension to file his opposition to Scottsdale's summary judgment motion, and for
2 Scottsdale to have a similar short extension to file its reply to Kerrins' opposition, while the
3 parties await the responses by all parties to the pending proposal. Such extensions would obviate
4 the parties incurring further time and expense litigating Scottsdale's summary judgment motion
5 if the proposal is approved.

6 THEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that the Court enter an order thereon, to
7 modify the briefing schedule for Scottsdale's summary judgment motion, set for hearing on May
8 29, 2014, as follows: (1) continue the deadline for the opposition to such motion to May 8, 2014;
9 and (2) continue the deadline for the reply to such opposition to the motion to May 15, 2014.

10 IT IS SO STIPULATED, AGREED, AND RESPECTFULLY REQUESTED.

11
12 DATED: May 1, 2014

BARDELLINI, STRAW, CAVIN & BUPP, LLP

13
14 By: _____

15 John F. Cavin
16 Steven J. Kahn
17 Attorneys for Plaintiff
18 THOMAS J. KERRINS d.b.a. SQUARE ONE
19 REAL ESTATE & SQUARE ONE FINANCIAL

20 DATED: May 1, 2014

SEDGWICK LLP

21 By:  _____

22 Brian D. Harrison
23 Veena A. Mitchell
24 Attorneys for Defendant
25 SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' STIPULATION, IT IS ORDERED that:

The briefing schedule for Scottsdale's summary judgment motion, set for hearing on May 29, 2014, as follows: (1) the deadline for the opposition to such motion is continued to May 8, 2014; and (2) the deadline for the reply to such opposition to the motion is continued to May 15, 2014.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 5/1/14

