

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME L. GRIMES,)	No. C 13-4275 JSW (PR)
Plaintiff,)	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
v.)	
EXPRESS AUTO PAWN, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

Plaintiff, an inmate in the San Francisco County Jail and frequent litigator in this Court, has recently filed this pro se civil rights case. On May 18, 2000, this Court informed Plaintiff that under the "three-strikes" provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) he generally is ineligible to proceed *in forma pauperis* in federal court with civil actions filed while he is incarcerated. *See Grimes v. Oakland Police Dept.*, C 00-1100 CW (Order Dismissing Complaint, 5/18/00). Since then, Plaintiff has continued to file hundreds of civil rights actions seeking *in forma pauperis* status. With respect to each action filed, the Court conducts a preliminary review to assess the nature of the allegations and to determine whether Plaintiff alleges facts which bring him within the "imminent danger of serious physical injury" exception to § 1915(g). In the past, Plaintiff has routinely been granted leave to amend to pay the full filing fee and to state cognizable claims for relief, but he has habitually failed to do so. For example, in 2003 alone Plaintiff's failure to comply resulted in the dismissal of approximately thirty-six actions under § 1915(g).

1 In accord with this ongoing practice, the Court has reviewed the allegations in the
2 present action and finds that Plaintiff alleges no facts which bring him within the
3 “imminent danger” clause. The complaint makes a number of highly implausible or
4 unintelligible allegations, such as “1993-1995 diesel truck transportation vehicles
5 terrorisms” and oil bonus robbery in the commission of genocide 09/11/01 covert terror.”
6 Plaintiff has been informed on numerous occasions that allegations such as these do not
7 establish imminent danger nor do they state cognizable claims for relief under federal
8 law. Therefore, it would be futile to grant Plaintiff leave to amend.

9 Accordingly, this case is DISMISSED without prejudice under § 1915(g). If
10 Plaintiff is so inclined, he may bring his claims in a new action accompanied by the
11 \$400.00 filing fee. In any event, the Court will continue to review under § 1915(g) all
12 future actions filed by Plaintiff while he is incarcerated in which he seeks *in forma*
13 *pauperis* status.

14 The Clerk of the Court shall close the files and terminate all pending motions in
15 the cases listed in the caption of this order.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 DATED: October 3, 2013

18 
19 _____
20 JEFFREY S. WHITE
21 United States District Judge
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4

5 JEROME GRIMES,

Case Number: CV13-04275 JSW

6 Plaintiff,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

7 v.

8 EXPRESS AUTO PAWN et al,

9 Defendant.
10 _____/

11 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
12 Court, Northern District of California.

13 That on October 3, 2013, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
14 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing
15 said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery
16 receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

17 Jerome L. Grimes
18 San Francisco County Jail
19 1 Moreland Drive
20 #122233182
21 San Bruno, CA 94066

Dated: October 3, 2013


Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk