

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JON GRAY,

Plaintiff,

No. C 13-04340 WHA

v.

SAN FRANCISCO DEPUTY SHERIFFS
ASSOCIATION,

Defendant.

**ORDER RE MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION**

On November 5, 2013, plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of the order denying his motion to proceed in forma pauperis. This order notes that plaintiff states in his motion for reconsideration that he is “unemployed and presently is not receiving net income” (Br. at 1). Yet, he also states in the same motion that he “was recently transferred by his *employer* to work in San Jose full time” (*id.* at 2) (emphasis added). Setting aside this contradiction, plaintiff has failed to show sufficient cause to reverse the previous order denying his IFP status. Thus, plaintiff’s motion for reconsideration is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 7, 2013.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California