
| 1  | John R. Till SBN 178763 JTill@PaladinLaw.com Kirk M. Tracy SBN 288508 KTracy@PaladinLaw.com PALADIN LAW GROUP® LLP |   |
|----|--|---|
| 2  |  |   |
| 3  | 1176 Boulevard Way<br>Walnut Creek, CA 94595   |   |
| 4  | Telephone: (925) 947-5700  |   |
| 5  | Facsimile: (925) 935-8488  |   |
| 6  | Counsel for Plaintiffs Ryan,<br>Anne, and Reese Schaeffer  |   |
| 7  |  |   |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |   |
| 9  | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |   |
| 10 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION   |   |
| 11 | RYAN SCHAEFFER, an individual; ANNE  | Case No. 3:13-CV-4358 JST   |
| 12 | SCHAEFFER, an individual; and REESE SCHAEFFER, a minor by and through her  | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  |
| 13 | general guardians Ryan Schaeffer and Anne Schaeffer,   | ORDER EXTENDING PAGE LIMIT<br>FOR PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR<br>PARTIAL SUMMARY AND |
| 14 | Plaintiffs,  | DEFENDANT GREGORY VILLAGE<br>PARTNERS, L.P.'S RESPONSE                          |
| 15 | v.   | THERETO   |
| 16 | GREGORY VILLAGE PARTNERS, L.P., a California Partnership, <i>et al</i> .   | Local Rules 7–2(b), 7–4(b), 7–12  |
| 17 | Defendants.  | Action filed: June 1, 2011<br>Discovery cut-off: August 14, 2015                |
| 18 | Dejenaams.   | Discovery cut-off: August 14, 2015<br>Trial date: February 29, 2016             |
| 19 |  |   |
| 20 | The Court granted Plaintiffs Ryan, Anne, and Reese Schaeffer leave to file more than one                           |   |
| 21 | motion for summary judgment or partial summary judgment against Defendant Gregory Village                          |   |
| 22 | Partners, L.P. in this action. See ECF No. 113. The current deadline within which Plaintiffs must                  |   |
| 23 | file such a motion is this Friday, February 27, 2015. See ECF No. 142. As Plaintiffs have been                     |   |
| 24 | preparing their moving papers, it has become clear to them that they need five additional pages for                |   |
| 25 | their memorandum of points and authorities beyond the page length limit provided by Local Rule                     |   |
| 26 | 7-2(b) (i.e., 30 pages, instead of 25) due to the complexity of the relevant factual history of this               |   |
| 27 | action and the number and complexity of the legal issues presented by their motion.                                |   |



Accordingly, the parties hereto have agreed, and hereby request that the Court order, that Plaintiffs shall be permitted to file a memorandum of points and authorities in support of their motion of up to 30 pages in length and that Defendant Gregory Village Partners, L.P. shall be permitted to file a brief or memorandum of points and authorities in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion of up to 30 pages in length. That is, the parties hereto have agreed to each give the other and hereby request that the Court give them each, five additional pages for their principal brief on the motion. See L.R. 7–2(b), 7–4(b), 7–12. IT IS SO STIPULATED. PALADIN LAW GROUP® LLP Date: February 24, 2015 John R. Till, Esq. Counsel for Plaintiffs STANZLER LAW GROUP Date: February 24, 2015 Jeffery Curtiss, Esq. Counsel for Gregory Village Partners, L.P.

February 27, 2015





1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Date:

Case No. 3:13-CV-4358-JST