

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6  
7 GLENDA LOPEZ,  
8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 REDDY, et al.,  
11 Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-04396-JST](#)

**ORDER TO TERMINATE ACTION  
FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER  
JURISDICTION**

Re: ECF No. 2, 11

12 Plaintiff Glenda Lopez, proceeding pro se, brings this action against Defendants Drs.  
13 Reddy and Manshadi<sup>1</sup> for due process violations arising out of their purported failure to process a  
14 referral so that vascular surgery could be performed on her. ECF No. 1. On November 19, 2013,  
15 the Court dismissed the complaint with leave to amend under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) for lack of  
16 subject matter jurisdiction. ECF No. 11. The Court permitted Lopez to file an amended complaint  
17 within thirty days of that order, or by December 18, 2013, establishing that this action can be  
18 heard in federal court. Id. at 3. As of the date of this order, Lopez has not filed an amended  
19 complaint. Accordingly, the Court hereby affirms its prior dismissal for lack of subject matter  
20 jurisdiction and orders the Clerk to terminate this action.

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22 Dated: January 17, 2014

23  
24   
25 JON S. TIGAR  
26 United States District Judge

27  
28 <sup>1</sup> Lopez does not allege the Defendants' full names.