Hamilton et al v. City of Berkeley et al

Doc. 1

JURISDICTION

2. This action arises under Title 42 of the United States Code, Section 1983. Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by Title 42 of the United States Code, Section 1331 and 1343. The unlawful acts and practices alleged herein occurred in the City of Berkeley which is within this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 3. At all times relevant to this complaint, Plaintiff JACORY BROWN (hereinafter "Plaintiff") was and is a resident of El Sobrante, California and a United States Citizen.
- 4. At all times relevant to this complaint, Plaintiff DE'VON HAMILTON (hereinafter "Plaintiff") was and is a resident of Stockton, California and a United States Citizen.
- 5. Defendant CITY OF BERKELEY (hereinafter "Defendant") are and at all times herein mentioned is a municipal entities duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. Under its supervision, the City of Berkeley operates the Berkeley Police Department.
- 6. Defendant "FNU" MILES (hereinafter "MILES") is a natural person. He is being sued in his individual and official capacity as Police Officer for the City of Berkeley.
- 7. Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and/or capacities of defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, and therefore sue said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiffs believe and allege that each of the DOE defendants is legally responsible and liable for the incident, injuries and damages hereinafter set forth. Each defendant proximately caused injuries and damages because of their negligence, breach of duty, negligent supervision, management or control, violation of public policy, and false arrests. Each defendant is liable for his/her personal conduct, vicarious or imputed negligence, fault, or breach of duty, whether severally or jointly, or whether based upon agency, employment, ownership, entrustment, custody, care or control or upon any other act or omission. Plaintiffs will ask leave to amend this complaint subject to further discovery.

- 8. In doing the acts alleged herein, Defendants, and each of them acted within the course and scope of their employment.
- 9. In doing the acts and/or omissions alleged herein, Defendants, and each of them, acted under color of authority and/or under color of law.
- 10. Due to the acts and/or omissions alleged herein, Defendants, and each of them, acted as the agent, servant, and employee and/or in concert with each of said other Defendants herein.
- 11. For State causes of action related to Federal claims, Plaintiffs are required to comply with an administrative claim requirement under California law. Plaintiffs have complied with all applicable requirements.

FACTS

- 12. On September 21, 2011 at approximately 4 p.m., Plaintiff's JACORY BROWN was standing in front of a friend's house, located at 1217 Haskell Avenue, in Berkeley, California.
- 13. An unmarked white van pulled up to the house and City of Berkeley Police Officers got out of the van, accompanied by Plaintiff, DE'VON HAMILTON. Mr. Hamilton had been taken into custody nearby and the officers transported him back to the 1217 Haskell house.
- 14. The officers detained Mr. Brown and placed him into the back of a patrol car. One of the officers showed Mr. Brown a piece of paper with his name on it, which the officers claimed was a search warrant. Mr. Brown told the officers that he did not live at the residence. The officers placed Mr. Hamilton into the back of a patrol car.
- 15. Officers went inside the residence and performed a search while Mr. Brown and Mr. Hamilton remained in the patrol car.
- 16. After searching the house, the officers took Mr. Brown and Mr. Hamilton out of the patrol car and brought them into the house. The officers ordered Mr. Brown and Mr. Hamilton to strip naked. Mr. Brown and Mr. Hamilton were humiliated but complied with the officers commands. During the search, the officers touched the men's genitals and use their fingers to explore both of their anal cavities.

17. After the strip and body cavity search, Mr. Brown and Mr. Hamiton were taken to the police station. Mr. Brown remained in custody for 48 hours prior to being released on bail. Mr. Hamilton remained in custody for one week, until he was released on his own recognizance. All charges were eventually dismissed.

DAMAGES

- 18. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs suffered assault, battery and substantial physical and emotional injuries. As a further proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs incurred damages caused by the blatant violation of their constitutionally protected rights. Plaintiffs suffered severe and extreme emotional distress, fear, terror, anxiety, humiliation, and loss of sense of security, dignity, and pride as a United States Citizen.
- 19. The conduct of defendants was malicious, wanton, and oppressive. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to and aware of punitive damages against said defendants.

CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(42 U.S.C. Section 1983)

(Plaintiffs Against Defendants MILES and DOES 1-10)

- 20. Plaintiffs hereby re-allege and incorporate by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 19 of this Complaint.
- 21. In doing the acts complained of herein, Defendants MILES and DOES 1-10, inclusive, and/or each of them, acted under color of law to deprive Plaintiffs of certain constitutionally protected rights, including, but not limited to:
 - a. The right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures, as guaranteed by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution;
 - The right not to be deprived of life or liberty without due process of law, as guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States
 Constitution;

- c. The right to be free from the use of excessive force, which is guaranteed by the Fourth, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution;
- d. The right to be free from interference within the zone of privacy, as protected by the Fourth and Ninth Amendments to the United States Constitution;
 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Assault and Battery)
(Plaintiffs Against MILES and DOES 1-10)

- 23. Plaintiff re-allege and incorporate by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 22 of this Complaint.
- 24. Defendants MILES and DOES 1-10, inclusive, placed Plaintiffs in immediate fear of bodily harm by assaulting them without any just provocation or cause. Defendants placed Plaintiffs in immediate fear of severe bodily harm or death without any just provocation or cause. Defendants and DOES 1-10, inclusive, placed Plaintiffs in immediate fear of severe bodily harm or death by using excessive and unwarranted force against him by strip and body cavity searching them without just provocation or cause.
- 25. These defendants' conduct was neither privileged nor justified under statute or common law.
- 26. As a proximate result of defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs suffered damages as hereinafter set forth.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief, as hereinafter set forth.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)
(Plaintiffs Against Defendants MILES and DOES 1-10)

- 27. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 26 of this Complaint.
- 28. The conduct of Defendants MILES and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, as set forth herein, was extreme and outrageous and beyond the scope of conduct which should be tolerated by citizens in a democratic and civilized society. Defendants committed these extreme and outrageous acts with the intent to inflict severe mental and emotional distress upon Plaintiffs.
- 29. As a proximate result of Defendants' willful, intentional and malicious conduct, plaintiffs suffered severe and extreme mental and emotional distress. Therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of punitive damages as against said defendants. Plaintiffs have suffered damages as hereinafter set forth.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Civil Code Section 52.1) (Plaintiffs Against Defendants MILES and DOES 1-10)

- 30. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 29 of this Complaint.
- 31. The conduct of Defendants, MILES and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, as described herein, acting in the course and scope of their employment for Defendant City of Berkeley Police Department violated California Civil Code Section 52.1, in that they interfered

with Plaintiffs' exercise and enjoyment of their civil rights, through use of wrongful and excessive force.

- 32. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of Civil Code Section 52.1. Plaintiffs suffered violation of his constitutional rights, and suffered damages as set forth herein.
- 33. Since this conduct occurred in the course and scope of their employment,

 Defendant is therefore liable to Plaintiffs pursuant to respondent superior.
- 34. Plaintiffs are entitled to injunctive relief and an award of their reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to Civil Code Section 52.1(h).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief, as hereinafter set forth.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence)

(Plaintiffs Against MILES and DOES 1-10)

- 35. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 34 of this complaint, except for any and all allegations of intentional, malicious, extreme, outrageous, wanton, and oppressive conduct by defendants, and any and all allegations requesting punitive damages.
- 36. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, were subject to a duty of care to avoid causing unnecessary physical harm and distress to persons through their use of force and making of arrests. The wrongful conduct of Defendants, as set forth herein, did not comply with the standard of care to be exercised by reasonable persons, proximately causing plaintiff to suffer injuries and damages as set forth herein thereby breaching

the duty owed. Pursuant to Government Code Section 815.2(a), Defendant is vicariously liable to Plaintiffs for their injuries and damages suffered as alleged herein, incurred as a proximate result of the aforementioned wrongful conduct of Defendants.

37. As a proximate result of Defendants' negligent conduct, Plaintiffs suffered physical injury, severe emotional and mental distress, injury having a traumatic effect on Plaintiffs' emotional tranquility, and damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

JURY DEMAND

38. Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial in this action.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief, as follows:

- 1. For general damages in a sum according to proof;
- 2. For special damages in a sum according to proof;
- 3. For punitive damages in a sum according to proof;
- 4. For violation of California Civil Code Sections 52, statutory damages, and reasonable attorney's fees;
- 5. For violation of California Civil Code Section 52(b), punitive damages against Defendant sheriffs/police, \$25,000.00 for each offense and reasonable attorney's fees;
- 6. For reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988;
- 7. For cost of suit herein incurred; and
- 8. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: September 21, 2013

The Law Offices of John L. Burris

/s/ John f. Burris

John L. Burris Attorney(s) for Plaintiff