Northern District of California United States District Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MICHELE FOTINOS, et al., Case No. 13-cy-04463-TEH Plaintiffs,

v.

BETH LABSON-FREEMAN, et al., Defendants.

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' APPLICATION TO SET ASIDE THE COURT'S JANUARY 23, 2014 **JUDGMENT**

The Court is in receipt of Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application to Vacate and Set Aside Judgment, filed on February 24, 2014. In their application, Plaintiffs assert that in its January 22, 2014 order granting Defendants' motion to dismiss, the Court failed to consider Plaintiffs' First Cause of Action against President Barack Obama for his alleged breach of his "fiduciary duty to the American people in nominating [Defendant Beth Labson-Freeman, pursuant to Article II, Section 2, Clause 2 of the U.S. Constitution." First Amended Complaint ("FAC") at 63.

The Court agrees that its January 22, 2014 order of dismissal did not address this cause of action, which seeks declaratory relief that President Obama breached a fiduciary duty to the American people "to conduct a due diligence of the qualifications, ethics, and conduct of the proposed nominee" when he nominated Defendant Labson-Freeman to the federal bench. FAC ¶ 280. This claim must be dismissed, however, because Plaintiffs lack standing for the reasons discussed below.

Federal courts are limited to only adjudicating controversies brought by those with standing to sue. Flast v. Cohen, 392 U.S. 83, 94-95 (1968); Schlesinger v. Reservists Comm. to Stop the War, 418 U.S. 208, 220 (1974). Standing to sue comes from having a concrete injury, and therefore a sufficient stake in the controversy being presented. Schlesinger, 418 U.S. at 220-21. Here Plaintiffs do not allege that they have been personally injured in any way by President Obama's conduct; their only allegation is that

his nomination of Defendant Labson-Freeman is "not in the best interest of the American	
people." FAC $\P\P$ 7, 299. It is long been held that "standing to sue may not be predicated	
upon an interest held in common by all members of the public." <i>Schlesinger</i> , 418 U.S.	
at 220. Thus, Plaintiffs' alleged interest, shared by the "American people" at-large, does	
not confer standing on Plaintiffs. As a result, the Court cannot adjudicate Plaintiffs' cause	
of action against President Obama. This deficiency cannot be cured by amendment and	
the claim must be dismissed with prejudice.	
Accordingly, the Court hereby DENIES Plaintiffs' request to vacate and set aside	
the judgment entered on January 23, 2014.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: 02/24/14	THELTON E. HENDERSON United States District Judge