## 25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	LEILANI JIMENEZ, individually, and as No. C 13-04620 CRB successor-in-interest for Decedent DENNIS
12	JIMENEZ; J.J., a minor, by and through his guardian ad litem Leilani Jimenez; D.J., a minor, PREJUDICE DEFENDANTS' MOTION
13	by and through her guardian ad litem Leilani Jimenez, and DENNIS JIMENEZ, Jr. an  FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT
14	individual; DENISE GAINES, an individual; and ANITA JIMENEZ, an individual,
15	Plaintiff,
16	V.
17	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, et al.,
18	Defendant.
19	
20	Defendants have moved for partial summary judgment. See Motion (dkt. 35).
21	Plaintiffs' response notes that discovery in this matter has not been closed and that Plaintiff
22	wishes to depose at least one additional witness. <u>See</u> Response (dkt. 38) at 9. Rule 56(d)
23	provides that "[i]f a nonmovant shows by affidavit or declaration that, for specified reasons,
24	it cannot present facts essential to justify its opposition, the court may: (1) defer considering

the motion or deny it; (2) allow time to obtain affidavits or declarations or to take discovery; or (3) issue any appropriate order." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(d). Here, the Nisenbaum Declaration (dkt. 50) and the record before the Court meet the requirements of Rule 56(d). Therefore,

## United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27

28

Defendants' motion is DENIED without prejudice and the October 9, 2015 hearing on the motion is VACATED.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 5, 2015

CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE