

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ASAP COPY AND PRINT, ET AL.,

No. C 13-04621 CRB

Plaintiffs,

**ORDER MODIFYING BRIEFING
AND HEARING SCHEDULE**

v.

BROWN ET AL,

Defendants.


Between the dates of April 2, 2014 and April 5, 2014, Defendants noticed numerous motions in this case. See dkts. 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90 and 91. Plaintiffs' oppositions to those motions were due not more than 14 days after the motions were filed. See Civil Local Rule 7-3. On April 21, 2014, after all of the deadlines had passed for filing their oppositions, and after some of the Defendants filed Statements of Non-Opposition, see, e.g. dkt. 95, Plaintiffs filed an Application to Modify Hearing Date and Briefing Schedule, complaining of the briefing demands in other cases, accusing Defendants of retaliating against Plaintiffs by their actions in other cases, and as an accommodation for Plaintiff (and Plaintiffs' counsel) Nina Ringgold's disability, see dkt. 99. The Court is unmoved by Plaintiffs' assertions about other cases, particularly as Plaintiffs chose to bring this case, and chose to include so many causes of action against so many defendants. See generally Compl. (dkt. 1). Nonetheless, in order to accommodate Ms. Ringgold's disability, the Court will MODIFY

1 the briefing and hearing schedules as follows:

- 2 (1) Any oppositions as yet unfiled are due by May 16, 2014. Plaintiffs may not
3 revise oppositions already filed.
- 4 (2) Defendants' replies are due by May 23, 2014.
- 5 (3) The hearing on Defendants' pending motions is hereby MOVED from May 16,
6 2014 to June 6, 2014.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8
9 Dated: May 6, 2014



CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28