

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

DANIEL STRUB,

Case No. C 13-04704 RS

Plaintiff,

STIPULATION AMENDING CASE
MANAGEMENT SCHEDULING ORDER

v.

YOUTUBE, LLC and GOOGLE INC.

[REDACTED] U [REDACTED]

Defendants.

[REDACTED] P [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

IQ

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

IMH

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

CFI

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

IRQ

ID

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

HY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

1 WHEREAS, on May 30, 2014, Plaintiff served its Proposed Amended Infringement
2 Contentions;

3 WHEREAS, Defendants believe that Plaintiff's Proposed Amended Infringement
4 Contentions are baseless and, among other things, fail to comply with the specificity requirements
5 of Patent Local Rule 3-1; and


6 WHEREAS, Plaintiff disputes Defendants' characterization, but has agreed, without
7 prejudice, to provide further specificity in its Proposed Amended Infringement Contentions;

8 THEREFORE, subject to the Court's approval, the Parties stipulate that the deadlines set
9 in the Case Management Scheduling Order should be amended as follows:
10

11 6/23/14	Plaintiff to serve revised Proposed Amended Infringement Contentions.
12 7/7/14	Parties to exchange Preliminary Claim Constructions pursuant to Pat. L.R. 4-2.
13 7/21/14	Parties to file Joint Claim Construction & Prehearing Statement pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-3.
14 8/4/14	Claim Construction Discovery Cut-Off pursuant to Pat. L.R. 4-4.
15 8/4/14	Strub to file Opening Brief on Claim Construction pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-5(a).
16 8/18/14	YouTube to file Responsive Brief on Claim Construction pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-5(b).
17 8/25/14	Strub to file Reply Brief on Claim Construction pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-5(c).
18 Friday, 9/5/14 at 10:00 a.m.	Tutorial and <i>Markman</i> hearing.

21
22
23
24
25 IT IS SO ORDERED:

26 DATED: 6/10, 2014

27
28 
RICHARD SEEBORG
United States District Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: June 9, 2014

SCHUBERT JONCKHEER & KOLBE LLP
Robert C. Schubert
Willem F. Jonckheer

OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY
& BROITMAN P.C.

By: /s/ Glenn F. Ostrager
Glenn F. Ostrager
Joshua S. Broitman
570 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 681-0600
Facsimile: (212) 681-0300

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: June 9, 2014

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
& SULLIVAN, LLP

By: /s/ Adam Botzenhart
Melissa J. Baily
Adam Botzenhart
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

*Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC
and Google Inc.*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION

I, Glenn F. Ostrager, am the ECF User whose ID and password being used to file this Stipulation. In compliance with General Order 45,X.B., I hereby attest under penalty of perjury that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from its signatories.

Dated: June 9, 2014

/s/ Glenn F. Ostrager
Glenn F. Ostrager