1

2

3

4

5

6

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

without leave to amend.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5/12/14

7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 10 JAMES GOODFELLOW, No. C 13-04726 RS 11 Plaintiff, 12 ORDER CLARIFYING PRIOR ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS 13 GREGORY AHREN, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 As indicated in recent filings, the ASCO defendants appear to be operating under the 18 misconception that plaintiff Goodfellow's § 1983 claims against Sherriff Ahern and Deputy Willis 19 were dismissed without leave to amend. As explained in the prior order, defendants' Rule 12 20 motions were "denied in part and granted in part with leave to amend." (Order on Defendants' 21 Motions, ECF No. 43, 17:4-5). The order further explains that Goodfellow's defective claims could

be dismissed with prejudice if he fails sufficiently to amend his complaint. No claim was dismissed

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE