

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

consolidation would produce against any inconvenience, delay, or expense that it would cause." 2 Huene v. United States, 743 F.2d 703, 704 (9th Cir. 1984).

Consolidating the *Frlekin* and *Kalin* actions would result in six different plaintiff law firms representing plaintiffs in a single action. This would require considerable effort to craft a consolidated complaint. Moreover, if the proposed consolidated action were to proceed as a class action, the requests for reimbursement of costs and attorney's fees from the six law firms would undoubtedly deplete the recovery for the putative class. An alternative is to select a smaller group of plaintiffs and firms, perhaps one each, to proceed on a possible class basis, with all others to proceed on an individual basis.

10 All counsel for all parties shall please submit memoranda commenting on the foregoing, as well as which, if any, counsel should possibly be appointed as interim class counsel. The 12 memoranda should also address if one plaintiff is allowed to proceed as a putative class 13 representative, who it should be. This is due by THURSDAY, JANUARY 9, 2014, AT NOON and is 14 limited to seven pages per submission. Finally, all parties shall also advise the Court as to any 15 related actions elsewhere in the United States.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 Dated: January 6, 2014.

WILLIAM **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

United States District Court For the Northern District of California 1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28