

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEDRICK RILEY,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
THE CITY OF RICHMOND, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. C 13-4752 MMC

**ORDER STRIKING DEFENDANTS’  
MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED  
COMPLAINT, STAY PROCEEDINGS,  
AND/OR STRIKE PLEADINGS;  
CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT  
CONFERENCE**

Before the Court is defendants’ “Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint, Stay Proceedings, and/or Strike Pleadings,” filed January 28, 2014.

In said motion, which is twenty-three pages in length, defendants purport to incorporate by reference certain arguments defendants “briefed extensively” in two previously filed documents (see Defs.’ Mot. at 5:6-8), which would extend the motion well beyond the 25-page limit set forth in the Civil Local Rules of this District. See Civil L.R. 7-2(b). Moreover, the “points and authorities in support of the motion” must be contained in “one filed document.” See id.


Because defendants’ motion does not comply with the Civil Local Rules, defendants’ motion is hereby STRICKEN, without prejudice to defendants’ refiling, no later than February 7, 2014, a motion in compliance therewith.

//

1 In light of the above, the Case Management Conference, currently scheduled for  
2 February 7, 2014, is hereby CONTINUED to April 25, 2014. A Joint Case Management  
3 Statement shall be filed no later than April 18 2014.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5  
6 Dated: January 29, 2014

7   
8 MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
9 United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28