

1
2
3
4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 NICK MILETAK,

No. C 13-4865 SI

8 Plaintiff,

**ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR LEAVE TO AMEND AND
DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION**

9 v.

10 COMMUNICATION WORKERS OF
11 AMERICA (CWA)

12 Defendant.
_____ /

13 In an order filed April 22, 2014, the Court granted defendant's motion to dismiss the complaint
14 and granted plaintiff leave to amend the complaint, and denied plaintiff's motion for sanctions. Docket
15 No. 51. The Court's order directed plaintiff to file an amended complaint by May 2, 2014.

16 On May 2, 2014, plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of the denial of the sanctions
17 motion, and filed a motion for leave to file an amended complaint. The motion for reconsideration is
18 DENIED. Plaintiff's motion for leave to file an amended complaint was unnecessary as the Court's
19 April 22, 2014 order already granted plaintiff leave to amend. **Plaintiff is directed to file the amended**
20 **complaint no later than May 16, 2014**, and defendant shall either answer the amended complaint or
21 file a motion pursuant to Rule 12. This order resolves Docket Nos. 52 and 53.

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23
24 Dated: May 9, 2014

25 

26 _____
SUSAN ILLSTON
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28