1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 9 KAREN TAYLOR, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, and 10 PAULISA FIELDS. No. C 13-04916 WHA 11 Plaintiffs, 12 ORDER DENYING v. MOTION TO DISMISS AND TO 13 WEST MARINE PRODUCTS INC., STRIKE CLASS ALLEGATIONS AS MOOT 14 Defendant. 15 16 On November 21, defendant submitted a motion to dismiss plaintiffs' class action 17 complaint and to strike class allegations, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) 18 and 12(f), and 23(d). Under Civil Local Rule 7-3, plaintiffs' opposition to that motion was due 19 on December 5. To date, no such opposition has been filed. 20 Nonetheless, plaintiffs submitted an amended complaint yesterday, pursuant to Federal 21 Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(1)(B). Because this amended complaint was filed within 21 days 22 after service of defendant's motion, plaintiffs have complied with the requirements of Rule 23 15(a)(1)(B). Accordingly, defendant's motion is **DENIED AS MOOT**, without prejudice to 24 defendant bringing a fresh motion to dismiss the amended complaint and to strike class 25 allegations. No further amendments may be made to the amended complaint without seeking in 26 advance leave of the Court to do so. 27 IT IS SO ORDERED. 28 Dated: December 13, 2013.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE