1		
2		
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4		
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	CHRISTOPHER WAGNER,	
8	Plaintiff, No. C 13-04952 WHA	
9	v.	
10	DIGITAL PUBLISHING NOTICE RE ACCELERIZE NEV	W
11	CORPORATION, et al. MEDIA, INC.	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	Immediately after our case management conference on January 21, the undersigned judges	ge
15	became concerned that defendant Accelerize New Media, Inc., which is a California citizen for	•
16	diversity purposes, destroys complete diversity in this action. If both parties wish to remain in	
17	federal court, it would seem that Accelerize ought to be dismissed from the action (without	
18	prejudice) to preserve diversity jurisdiction. If Accelerize is not dismissed, this action will hav	e
19	to be remanded for lack for federal subject-matter jurisdiction.	
20	The Court apologizes for not addressing this issue during the hearing. Both parties shall	11
21	submit a response to this problem, by JANUARY 29, 2014, AT NOON. The judge caught	
22	plaintiff's counsel, Daniel Balsam, in the hallway, had a brief conversation about this problem,	,
23	and asked him to repeat the conversation to defense counsel.	
24		

Dated: January 21, 2014.

25

26

27

28

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE