SHERRY B. SHAVIT, ESQ.; STATE BAR NO.: 193222 E-mail: sshavit@tharpe-howell.com STUART E. COHEN, ESQ.; STATE BAR NO.: 213810 2 E-mail: scohen@tharpe-howell.com THARPE & HOWELL, LLP 3 15250 Ventura Blvd., Ninth Floor Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Tel: (818) 205-9955 Fax: (818) 205-9944 4 5 6 J. KEVIN LILLY, ESQ.; STATE BAR NO.: 119981 7 E-mail: klilly@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON PC 8 2049 Century Park East, 5th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 553-0308 9 Fax: (310) 553-5583 10 Attorneys for Defendant, 11 SECURITAS SECURITY SERVICES, USA, INC. 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 16 Case No.: 3:13-cv-05016-JST MICHAEL DEATRICK, individually and on behalf of all others similarly 17 situated, and as representative of the JOINT STIPULATION AND State of California, 18 (PROPOSED) ORDER EXTENDING Plaintiff, TIME TO FILE MOTION FOR 19 CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION OF A SETTLEMENT CLASS OR ٧. 20 CLASSES AND FOR PRELIMINARY SECURITAS SECURITY SERVICES APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT 21 USA, INC., 22 Defendant. 23 24 25 26 27 28 JOINT STIPULATION FOR ORDER CONTINUING TIME TO FILE MOTION FOR CONDITIONAL

Dockets.Justia.com

I. INTRODUCTION

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b), 6-2 and 7-12, the undersigned counsel hereby jointly request an order extending the time for the parties to file a motion for conditional certification of a settlement class or classes and for preliminary approval of settlement (herein the "Motion"). On June 18, 2015, this Court set a deadline for the Motion to be filed on August 21, 2015. (ECF Doc. No. 143.)

II. THE REQUESTED ORDER TO EXTEND TIME IS APPROPRIATE

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2(a), parties filing a stipulation requesting an order extending time must (1) set forth with particularity the reasons for the requested change, (2) disclose all previous time modifications in the case, whether by stipulation or Court order, and (3) describe the effect that the requested time modification would have on the schedule for the case.

A. Reasons for the Requested Relief

The parties have reached an agreement on the general terms of settlement on behalf of all opt-in plaintiffs nationwide and a conditionally-certified Rule 23 class or classes.

The parties continue to work diligently to negotiate additional and necessary terms and finalize a settlement agreement. Due to the number and complexity of issues, and need of various parties to review the drafts, additional time to document the settlement, prepare the Motion and submit the Motion is needed. The parties believe that an additional two (2) weeks are needed to iron out the remaining details of the settlement agreement, obtain all signatures, and finalize the Motion.

B. **Previous Time Modifications**

The Court initially set an August 7, 2015 deadline to file the Motion. (ECF Doc. No. 141.)

Due to the need for additional time to draft the settlement agreement and Motion, the parties stipulated and requested a continuance of the August 7, 2015 deadline to August 21, 2015. (ECF Doc. No. 142.) The Court granted the

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

stipulation and continued the deadline to file the Motion to August 21, 2015. (ECF Doc. No. 143.)

C. **Effect on Case Schedule**

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2(a)(3), the requested extension would have no effect on the case schedule other than fostering efficiency and the best interests of the parties involved.

III. **STIPULATION**

THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE, by and through their respective counsel, as follows:

> That the Court continue the current deadline of August 21, 2015 to September 4, 2015, for the Plaintiffs to file a motion for conditional certification of a settlement class or classes and for preliminary approval of settlement.

I, Sherry B. Shavit, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file this Stipulation. In compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that all other signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have authorized the filing.

20 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

> Dated: August 21, 2015 LITTLER MENDELSON PC J. KEVIN LILLY

> > THARPE & HOWELL, LLP

/s/ Sherry B. Shavit By: SHERRY B. SHAVIT JART E. COHEN Attorneys for Defendant, SECURITAS SECURITY SERVICES

JOINT STIPULATION FOR ORDER CONTINUING TIME TO FILE MOTION FOR CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION AND FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

PROMETHEUS PARTNERS L.L.P.

By: /s/ John R. Hurley

JOHN R. HURLEY Attorneys for Plaintiffs, MICHAEL DEATRICK. et al.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: August 25, 2015

