

1 Scott Kalkin 120791
ROBOOSTOFF & KALKIN
 2 A Professional Law Corporation
 369 Pine Street, Suite 820
 3 San Francisco, California 94104
 (415) 732-0282
 4 Attorney for Plaintiff Richard Trecartin

5
 6
 7
 8
 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 11

12 Richard Trecartin,)
 13 Plaintiff,)
 14 v.)
 15 UNUM Life Insurance Company of)
 America, and Provident Life and)
 16 Accident Insurance Company,)
 17 Defendants.)

ACTION NO.: C 13-05024 NC
**EX PARTE APPLICATION
 AND [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER
 TO CONTINUE CASE
 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
 AND RELATED DEADLINES**

18 I, Scott Kalkin, counsel for plaintiff in the above-referenced matter, hereby make the
 19 following *ex parte* application to the Court.
 20

- 21 1. This is an ERISA long term disability case in which the Court had set the initial case
 management conference for January 29, 2014.
- 22 2. The complaint in this matter was filed on October 29, 2013.
- 23 3. On October 30, 2013, plaintiff's counsel received a call from Marybeth Fougere, in-
 24 house counsel for both of the defendants in this matter. She informed me that she had
 25 become aware that suit in this matter had been filed.
 26

27 _____
 28

1 4. During the course of our conversation we discussed whether it would be worthwhile
2 to attempt to resolve this matter without the necessity of service of the complaint or defendants
3 incurring the cost of retaining outside counsel. We agreed to attempt to do so.

4 5. As such, I filed a request that the Court continue the case management conference
5 until March 26, 2014. That request was granted on January 21, 2014.

6 6. The parties have continued their negotiations, however, defendants' counsel is
7 currently having certain financial information provided to her by plaintiff's counsel evaluated
8 by a CPA. Once this evaluation is complete, settlement discussions can continue. As such
9 the parties require more time to complete their negotiations.

10 7. Given the parties' desire to continue their settlement discussions prior to defendants
11 having to engage outside counsel to file a response to the complaint, it is requested that the
12 case management conference and associated dates set forth in the Court's Scheduling Order
13 be continued for approximately sixty (60) days.

14 8. The currently scheduled and proposed dates are as follows:

	<u>Scheduled</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
15 Meet and Confer re: 16 Initial Disclosures 17 and ADR	3-5-14	5-5-14
18 Complete Disclosures	3-19-14	5-19-14
19 Case Management 20 Conference	3-26-14	5-28-14

21 9. In light of the forgoing, good cause exists for the continuance and it is requested that
22 the Court modify its Scheduling Order as set forth above, or to some time thereafter which is
23 convenient to the Court.

24 //

25

26 //

27

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I declare that the forgoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and that this declaration was made at San Francisco, California.

Respectfully submitted,
ROBOOSTOFF & KALKIN

Dated: March 18, 2014

By: _____ /s/
Scott Kalkin
Attorneys for Plaintiff

PROPOSED ORDER

Good cause having been shown, the Court hereby modifies its Scheduling Order as set forth above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 18, 2014

