LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
Ryan R. Owens (Bar No. 269370)
ryan.owens@lw.com
650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor
Costa Mesa, California 92626-1925
Telephone: (714) 540-1235
Facsimile: (714) 755-8290

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
Lawrence J. Gotts (*pro hac vice*)
lawrence.gotts@lw.com
555 Eleventh Street, N.W. Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 2004-1304
Telephone: (202) 637-2200
Facsimile: (202) 637-2201

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
S. Giri Pathmanaban (Bar No. 284802)
giri.pathmanaban@lw.com
Michelle P. Woodhouse (260669)
michelle.woodhosue@lw.com
140 Scott Drive
Menlo Park, California 94025
Telephone: (650) 328-4600
Facsimile: (650) 463-2600

Attorneys for Defendant
SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR CO., LTD.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ENPLAS DISPLAY DEVICE CORPORATION; ENPLAS TECH SOLUTIONS, INC.; and ENPLAS (U.S.A.), INC.,

Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendants,

v.

SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR CO., LTD.,

Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff.

CASE NO. 3:13-CV-05038-NC

JOINT STIPULATION AND [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER ENLARGING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON EDD'S MOTION TO AMEND TO ADD A DEFENSE OF INEQUITABLE CONDUCT

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1, 6-2, 7-12, and related rules, Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff Seoul Semiconductor Co., Ltd. ("SSC") and Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendants Enplas Display Device Corporation ("EDD") respectfully request that the Court enter the following stipulation regarding the briefing schedule for EDD's Motion to Amend to Add a Defense of Inequitable Conduct:

WHEREAS on August 13, 2015 EDD filed its Motion to Amend to Add a Defense of Inequitable Conduct (D.I. 107);

WHEREAS SSC's Opposition to EDD's Motion to Add a Defense of Inequitable Conduct ("SSC's Opposition") is currently due on August 27, 2015;

WHEREAS the parties have agreed to exchange opening expert reports on August 28, 2015;

WHEREAS the parties have agreed to extend the time for SSC's Opposition to September 2, 2015;

WHEREAS the parties have agreed to extend the time for EDD's Reply in Support of its Motion to Add a Defense of Inequitable Conduct to September 10, 2015;

WHEREAS the agreed-upon extension will not affect any other deadlines in this case, including, but not limited to the hearing on EDD's Motion to Add a Defense of Inequitable Conduct;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and among the parties hereto, through their respective attorneys of record, that:

SSC's Opposition to EDD's Motion to Add a Defense of Inequitable Conduct ("SSC's Opposition") shall be filed by September 2, 2015;

EDD's Reply in Support of its Motion to Add a Defense of Inequitable Conduct shall be filed by September 10, 2015;

Prior Time Modifications

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, SSC and Enplas identify the following previous time modifications:

On September 22, 2014, the Court entered an Amended Case Management Scheduling

Order that extended the deadlines for Enplas's Responsive Claim Construction Brief; SSC's Reply Claim Construction Brief; Case Management Conference; Claim Construction Tutorial; Claim Construction Hearing; Deadline for Substantial Completion of Document Production; the Completion of Fact and Expert discovery, Opening Expert Reports, Rebuttal Expert Reports, Deadline to Complete Mediation, Dispositive and Daubert Motions, Pretrial Disclosures; Pretrial Conference Statement; Objections pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P 26(a)(3); the Pretrial Conference and Trial. *See* D.I. 72.

On March 30, 2015, the Court entered an Amended Case Management Scheduling Order that extended the deadlines for the Completion of Fact and Expert Discovery, Opening Expert Reports, Rebuttal Expert Reports, Deadline to Complete Mediation, Dispositive and Daubert Motions, Pretrial Disclosures; Pretrial Conference Statement; Objections pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P 26(a)(3); the Pretrial Conference and Trial. *See* D.I. 97.

On July 3, 2015 and again on July 13, 2015, the Court granted the parties' Joint Stipulation to Extend Dates to File Motions to Compel Discovery.

This agreed-upon extension will have no effect on any subsequent deadlines in this case.

Dated: August 25, 2015

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

By: /s/ Michelle P. Woodhouse

Michelle P. Woodhouse
Attorneys for Defendant-Counterclaim Plaintiff

Seoul Semiconductor Co., Ltd.

Pursuant to L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the above signatory attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below.

Dated: August 25, 2015

NAGASHIMA & HASHIMOTO

By: /s/ Marc R. Labgold

Marc R. Labgold, Ph.D.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Counterclaim Defendants

Enplas Display Device Corporation and Enplas (U.S.A.), Inc.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 25, 2015

Hon. Nathanael M. Cousins
United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
GRANTED
Judge Nathanael M. Cousins