1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12			
13	DANNI GUO and JIAWEI LU,	Case No. 13-cv-05299 NC	
14	Plaintiffs,	<b>ORDER FOR FURTHER BRIEFING;</b>	
15	V.	CONTINUING HEARING	
16	8BO.COM, and others,	Re: Dkt. No. 16	
17	Defendants.		
18		1	
19	Plaintiffs in this cybersquatting action move to serve defendant domains by email.		
20	Dkt. No. 16. In support of their motion, plaintiffs point to the methods of service permitted		
21	by the Anti-cybersquatting Consumer Protection Act when bringing an in rem action. 15		
22	U.S.C  1125(d)(2)(A)(ii)(II)(aa). But plaintiffs fail to discuss whether their proposed		
23	method of service is permissible under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4.		
24	Therefore, the Court orders plaintiffs to submit further briefing, not to exceed five		
25	pages, that addresses whether service of defendant domains is permissible under Rule 4.		
26	Plaintiffs must specifically address whether defendants are domestic or foreign, and if		
27	foreign, whether email service is permissible under Rule 4(f). Plaintiffs must submit their		
28	brief within seven days of this order. The case management conference and hearing on the		
	Case No. 13-cv-05299 NC ORDER FOR FURTHER BRIEFING; CONTINUING HEARING		

1	motion for service by email are continued until April 30, 2014 at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom A.		
2	The Court does not require an additional case management statement.		
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
4	Date: April 18, 2014	Alex	
5		Materia National M. Consider	
6		Nathanael M. Cousins United States Magistrate Judge	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
24 25			
23 26			
20 27			
28			
20	Case No. 13-cv-05299 NC ORDER FOR FURTHER BRIEFING; CONTINUING HEARING	2	