1	STEPHEN M. HAYES (SBN 83583) STEPHEN P. ELLINGSON (SBN 136505)			
2	CHERIE M. SUTHERLAND (SBN 217992)			
3	HAYES SCOTT BONINO ELLINGSON & McLAY, LLP 203 Redwood Shores Pkwy., Ste. 480			
4	Redwood City, California 94065 Telephone: 650.637.9100 Facility 650.637.9071			
5	Facsimile: 650.637.8071 Attorneys for Defendant STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY			
6				
7	J. EDWARD KERLEY (SBN 175695) DYLAN L. SCHAFFER (SBN 153612)			
8	HEREFORD KERLEY LLP 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 500			
9	Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: 510.379.5801			
10	Facsimile: 510.228-0350			
11	Attorney for Plaintiffs JOSE and YADIRA FLORES dba LA ROSA MARKET			
12				
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
15	JOSE FLORES, an individual, and YADIRA FLORES, an individual, dba	CASE NO. CV 13-05348 VC		
16	LA ROSA MARKET,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO REVISE THE COURT'S		
17	Plaintiffs,	SCHEDULING ORDER		
18	v.			
19	STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, an Illinois Company, and DOES			
20	1through 15,			
21	Defendants.			
22				
23	Plaintiffs and State Farm General Insurance Company ("State Farm"), by and through their			
24	respective counsel, hereby stipulate to revise the Court's February 18, 2014 Pretrial Schedule (Dkt			
25	18) as follows:			
26	I. RECITALS			
27	1. The parties are in the process of completing fact discovery, including depositions. The			
28	parties also have been working together to secure discovery from third parties who are significant			
	565687 -1-			

565687 -1-

witnesses. Despite the parties' cooperation in discovery, the parties do not anticipate being able to complete depositions by the current fact discovery deadline of January 16, 2015.

- 2. The parties are engaged in active settlement discussions. The parties would like to avoid incurring additional costs related to fact and expert discovery while discussing settlement. Additionally, incurring significant additional costs, e.g. for experts, could be an impediment to settlement.
- 3. The parties have initiated the Court's discovery dispute resolution process pursuant to Paragraph 9 (Discovery) of the Court's Standing Order. The parties have submitted three joint letters to the Court addressing several discovery issues. (Dkt 33, 45 & 35.) The outcome of these discovery disputes may impact the remaining depositions and additional discovery.
- 4. The parties previously stipulated to an order continuing the fact and expert discovery deadlines. The Court entered its order on that stipulation on October 2, 2014 (Dkt 32). Significant developments since then have included the recent settlement discussions, the unavailability of key witnesses for deposition for different reasons and the discovery disputes currently pending before the Court.
- 5. For these reasons, the parties have agreed to stipulate to a proposed order extending the deadline for fact discovery, and the deadlines for expert disclosure, rebuttal disclosure and expert discovery, to enable the parties to fully explore the potential for settlement without incurring undue additional burden and expense.
- 6. The parties are mindful of the other pretrial deadlines in the current Pretrial Schedule, and do not believe that the stipulated fact and expert discovery extensions will affect their ability to comply with those deadlines.
- 7. The parties do not seek to continue any deadlines other than the deadlines for fact discovery, expert disclosure, rebuttal disclosure and expert discovery. The parties do not seek to continue the trial date or the pretrial conference date. However, the parties are agreeable to doing so if the Court prefers to continue those dates in addition to the discovery and expert discovery deadlines addressed in this stipulation.

1	II. STIPULATION	
2	The parties hereby stipulate to the following revisions to the court's Pretrial Schedule:	
3	The parties hereby stipulate to	the following revisions to the court's Pretrial Schedule:
4 5	Discovery Cutoff	February 13, 2015 (currently January 16, 2015)
6	2. Expert Disclosure	February 27, 2015 (currently January 30, 2015)
7		(currently failure y 50, 2015)
8	3. Expert Rebuttal	March 13, 2015
9	_	(currently February 13, 2015)
10	4. Expert Discovery Cutoff	March 20, 2015
11		(currently February 27, 2015)
12		
13	Dated: December 10, 2014	HEREFORD KERLEY, LLP
14		
15		By /S/ Dylan L. Schaffer J. EDWARD KERLEY
16		DYLAN L. SCHAFFER Attorney for Plaintiffs
17		JOSE and YADIRA FLORES dba LA ROSA MARKET
18		
19		
20	Dated: December 10, 2014	HAYES SCOTT BONINO ELLINGSON & McLAY, LLP
21		By/S/ Stephen P. Ellingson
22		STEPHEN M. HAYES STEPHEN P. ELLINGSON
23		CHERIE M. SUTHERLAND Attorneys for Defendant
24		STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY
25		
26		
27		
28		

565687 -3-

565687 -4-