1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	JESSE PEREZ, Case No. 13-cv-05359-VC		
8	Plaintiff,		
9	v. VERDICT FORM		
10	A GATES, et al.,		
11	Defendants.		
12			
13	Based on the Court's instructions about the applicable law, we the jury unanimously		
14	answer the questions submitted to us as follows:		
15	QUESTION ONE:		
16	Did the plaintiff, Jesse Perez, prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that one or more		
17	of the defendants violated Perez's First Amendment rights?		
18	Sean Burris YES NO		
19	Anthony Gates YES NO		
20	Daniel Gongora YES NO		
21	Eric Healy YES NO		
22	Guillermo Pimentel YES NO		
23			
24			
25			
26			

United States District Court

Northern District of California

QUESTION TWO:

Did the plaintiff, Jesse Perez, prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that one or more of the defendants conspired to violate Perez's First Amendment rights?

Sean Burris	YES N	1O
Anthony Gates	YES N	1O
Daniel Gongora	YES N	1O
Eric Healy	YES N	10
Guillermo Pimentel	YES N	10

If your answer to Questions 1 or 2 is "yes" as to any defendant, proceed to question 3. Otherwise, the presiding juror should sign and date the form and return it to the Court.

United States District Court

Northern District of California

QUESTION THREE:

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that one or more of the following
defendants' conduct was malicious, oppressive, or in reckless disregard of Plaintiff Jesse Perez's
constitutional rights?

Sean Burris	YES	NO
Anthony Gates	YES	NO
Daniel Gongora	YES	NO
Eric Healy	YES	NO
Guillermo Pimentel	YES	NO

The presiding juror shall sign and date this special verdict form and return it to the Court.

Dated: November ____, 2015

By:	
•	Presiding Juror