2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6 7 8	JESSE PEREZ, Plaintiff,	Case No. <u>13-cv-05359-VC</u>
9	V.	ORDER RE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
10	A GATES, et al., Defendants.	
11	Defendants.	
12	The plaintiff's request for injunctive relief is denied in light of the following facts: (i) the	
13	plaintiff has been approved for a transfer to a different institution; (ii) the only defendant against	
14	whom the jury awarded punitive damages is on leave and appears unlikely to return to work; and	
15	(iii) the retaliatory Rules Violation Report was ultimately not sustained. See Armstrong v. Brown,	
16	768 F.3d 975, 983-84 (9th Cir. 2014); 18 U.S.C. § 3626(a)(1).	
17	The plaintiff should prepare and file, after defense has reviewed, a proposed judgment.	
18	The proposed judgment should be filed no later than December 8, 2015.	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	Dated: December 2, 2015	V-C
21		VINCE CHHABRIA
22		United States District Judge
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1