

1 BARTLIT BECK HERMAN PALENCHAR & SCOTT LLP
 Lester C. Houtz (lester.houtz@bartlit-beck.com)
 2 Karma M. Giulianelli (karma.giulianelli@bartlit-beck.com)
 André M. Pauka (andre.pauka@bartlit-beck.com)
 3 Daniel R. Brody (daniel.brody@bartlit-beck.com)
 1899 Wynkoop Street, 8th Floor
 4 Denver, CO 80202
 Telephone: (303) 592-3100
 5 Facsimile: (303) 592-3140

6 BARTLIT BECK HERMAN PALENCHAR & SCOTT LLP
 Mark E. Ferguson (mark.ferguson@bartlit-beck.com)
 7 54 West Hubbard Street, Suite 300
 Chicago, IL 60654
 8 Telephone: (312) 494-4400
 Facsimile: (312) 494-4440

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff Hewlett-Packard Company

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14 IN RE OPTICAL DISK DRIVE PRODUCTS
 15 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL Docket No. 3:10-md-02143-RS-JCS
 Case No. 3:13-cv-5371-RS

17 This document relates to:
 18 Hewlett-Packard Company,
 19 Plaintiff,
 20
 21 v.
 22 LG Electronics, Inc., et al.,
 23 Defendants.

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING
 SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS'
 MOTION TO DISMISS HP'S SECOND
 AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Hon. Richard Seeborg

1 Plaintiff HP and Defendants, through the undersigned counsel, request that the Court enter
2 the following order setting a briefing schedule for anticipated motions to dismiss the Second
3 Amended Complaint.

4 WHEREAS, on May 23, 2014, the Court signed a Stipulation and Order permitting HP to
5 file a Second Amended Complaint and granting Defendants 45 days to respond to that complaint
6 (Dkt. 33);

7 WHEREAS, HP filed its Second Amended Complaint on June 23, 2014 (Dkt. 36);

8 WHEREAS, Defendants' responses to the Second Amended Complaint are due on August
9 7, 2014;

10 WHEREAS, the parties anticipate that there will be at least one motion to dismiss filed
11 and have conferred and reached agreement on a briefing schedule for any such motion;

12 WHEREAS, the parties have agreed on a briefing schedule that places these motions on
13 the same calendar as the motion to dismiss in the companion HP case in this MDL;

14 NOW, THEREFORE, it is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, subject to
15 Court approval, that the following briefing schedule shall apply to any motions to dismiss the
16 Second Amended Complaint: any motion to dismiss shall be due no later than August 7, 2014;
17 any opposition shall be due no later than September 11, 2014; and any reply shall be due no later
18 than October 2, 2014.

19 IT IS SO STIPULATED

20 **BARTLIT BECK HERMAN
PALENCHAR & SCOTT LLP**

21 /s/ Lester C. Houtz
22 Lester C. Houtz
23 Attorneys for Plaintiff
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

24 **EIMER STAHL LLP**

25 /s/ Nathan P. Eimer
26 Nathan P. Eimer
27 Attorneys for Defendants
LG Electronics, Inc. and LG Electronics USA,
28 Inc.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ROPES & GRAY LLP

/s/ Mark S. Popofsky
Mark S. Popofsky
Attorneys for Defendants
Hitachi-LG Data Storage, Inc. and Hitachi-LG
Data Storage Korea, Inc.

JONES DAY

/s/ Eric P. Enson
Eric P. Enson
Attorneys for Defendants
Pioneer North America, Inc.; Pioneer
Electronics (USA) Inc.; Pioneer Corporation;
Pioneer High Fidelity Taiwan Co., Ltd.; and
Pioneer Digital Design & Manufacturing
Company

ATTESTATION

Pursuant to Rule 5-1(i)(3) of the Local Rules of Practice in Civil Proceedings Before the
United States District Court for the Northern District of California, I hereby attest that
concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories.

Dated: July 22, 2014

/s/ Lester C. Houtz
Lester C. Houtz

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/22, 2014


Honorable Richard Seeborg
United States District Judge