

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THOMAS A. SPITZER, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
TRISHA A. ALJOE, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-05442-MEJ](#)
ORDER RE: DISCOVERY SCHEDULE
Re: Dkt. No. 72

On February 2, 2015, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15. Dkt. No. 72. As part of their motion, Plaintiffs also request a 90-day extension of the discovery deadline in this case. *See* Pls.’ Mot. at 6:26-8:3. As Rule 15 does not provide a means by which to extend discovery, and Plaintiffs’ request does not comply with the undersigned’s Discovery Standing Order, Plaintiff’s motion is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** as to the discovery deadline. Defendants’ Opposition need only address the motion as it relates to the proposed Third Amended Complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 3, 2015



MARIA-ELENA JAMES
United States Magistrate Judge