Dockets.Justia.com

| 1  | Thomas P. Riley (SBN 194706)   |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS P. RILEY, P.C.<br>First Library Square   |  |  |
| 3  | 1114 Fremont Avenue  |  |  |
| 4  | South Pasadena CA 91030-3227<br>Telephone No. (626) 799-9797   |  |  |
| 5  | Facsimile No. (626) 799-9797   |  |  |
| 6  | Attorneys for Plaintiff<br>J & J Sports Productions, Inc.<br>UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT<br>NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA<br>SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION                                       |  |  |
| 7  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 9  |  |  |  |
| 9<br>10                                      | J & J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC.,  | Case No. 3:13-CV-05644-EMC                         |  |
| 11   | Plaintiff,   | PLAINTIFF'S CASE MANAGEMENT                        |  |
| 12   | vs.  | CONFERENCE STATEMENT<br>ORDER RESETTING CMC        |  |
|  |  | FOR: Honorable Edward M. Chen                      |  |
| 12<br>12<br>13<br>13<br>14                   | FRANCO CRUZ TORRES,  | DATE: Thursday, March 27, 2014                     |  |
|  | Defendant.   | TIME: 9:00 A.M.                                    |  |
| In I     |  |  |  |
| 15 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 | Conference Statement for the consideration of this Honorable Court. This Statement is "unilateral" in nature because the defendant has failed to answer or respond to Plaintiff's complaint. |  |  |
| 18   |  |  |  |
| 19   |  |  |  |
| 20   |  |  |  |
| 21   |  |  |  |
| 22   |  |  |  |
| 23   | <b>1. STATEMENT OF FACTS AND EVENTS UNDERLYING THIS ACTION.</b>  |  |  |
| 24   | Plaintiff's Position:  |  |  |
| 25   | Plaintiff, J & J Sports Productions, Inc., claims that the defendant Franco Cruz Torres,   |  |  |
| 26   | individually and d/b/a Mink Bar and Lounge a/k/a Francescas Mink Bar and Lounge,   |  |  |
| 27   | misappropriated a televised professional boxing  | Program to which the Plaintiff owned the exclusive |  |
| 28   | commercial exhibition rights and thereafter exhibited the Program at the commercial establishment  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | PLAINTIFF'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEM<br>CASE NO. 3:13-CV-05644-EMC<br>PAGE 1  | IEIN I   |  |

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS P. RILEY, P.C. First Library Square 1114 Fremont Avenue South Pasadena CA 91030-3377

(Mink Bar and Lounge a/k/a Francescas Mink Bar and Lounge) in Daly City, California which he 1 2 operates. The subject Program, broadcast on Saturday, December 8, 2012, was Manny Pacquiao v. Juan Manuel Marquez, IV Welterweight Fight Program, (hereinafter "Program"). 3 Defendant Franco Cruz Torres has failed to answer Plaintiff's Complaint. On March 17, 4 2014, Plaintiff filed its Request for Entry of Default as to defendant Franco Cruz Torres. The Clerk 5 entered default against defendant Franco Cruz Torres on March 19, 2014. Plaintiff will file its 6 Application for Default Judgment by the Court, shortly. 7 8 2. JURISDICTION AND SERVICE. 9 **Plaintiff's Position:** 10 There are no issues as to jurisdiction of the subject matter, jurisdiction of the parties 11 herein, or as to venue. The defendant Franco Cruz Torres has been served Plaintiff's initiating suit 12 papers. (ECF# 8). 13 14 3. THE PRINCIPAL FACTUAL ISSUES TO WHICH THE PARTIES DISPUTE. 15 **Plaintiff's Position:** 16 Plaintiff is unaware at this time of which principal factual issues remain unresolved. 17 18 4. THE PRINCIPAL LEGAL ISSUES TO WHICH THE PARTIES DISPUTE. 19 **Plaintiff's Position:** 20 Plaintiff is unaware at this time of which principal legal issues remain unresolved. 21 22 5. OTHER FACTUAL ISSUES WHICH REMAIN UNRESOLVED. **Plaintiff's Position:** 23 The Plaintiff is unaware at this time of which other factual issues remain unresolved. 24 25 6. PENDING MOTIONS AND CURRENT STATUS. 26 **Plaintiff's Position:** 27 None at this time. 28 PLAINTIFF'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT CASE NO. 3:13-CV-05644-EMC PAGE 2

| 7.         | AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS.  |
|------------|--|
| <u>Pla</u> | intiff's Position:   |
|            | The Plaintiff does not anticipate amending its pleadings at this time.                             |
|            |  |
| 8.         | COMPLIANCE WITH EVIDENCE-PRESERVATION REQUIREMENTS.  |
| Pla        | intiff's Position:   |
|            | The Plaintiff and its counsel have taken affirmative steps to preserve evidence related to the     |
| issu       | es presented by the instant action.  |
| 9.         | DISCLOSURES.   |
| Pla        | intiff's Position:   |
|            | If defendant defends this action, Plaintiff will serve its Initial Disclosures upon the defendant  |
| pur        | suant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.   |
|            |  |
| 10.        | DISCOVERY.   |
| Pla        | intiff's Position:   |
|            | The Plaintiff respectfully requests that the discovery in this action not be limited nor           |
| con        | ducted in phases. If necessary, the Plaintiff proposes a discovery (expert and non-expert) cutot   |
| date       | e of December 1, 2014.   |
|            |  |
| 11.        | CLASS ACTION STATUS.   |
| Pla        | intiff's Position:   |
|            | Not anticipated.   |
|            |  |
| 12.        | RELATED CASES.   |
| Pla        | intiff's Position:   |
|            | There are no other active commercial signal piracy cases involving the instant defendant           |
| pen        | ding before the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (or any other |
| Coi        | urt) at this time.   |
|            |  |
|            | INTIFF'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT<br>E NO. 3:13-CV-05644-EMC<br>E 3                    |

## 1 13. DAMAGES AND OTHER RELIEF.

## 2 Plaintiff's Position:

| 3  | Plai  | ntiff seeks statutory damages, actual dama            | ges, attorneys' fees | s and costs against the named |
|----|---|---|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 4  | defendant. The computations of Plaintiff's damages prayed for in the complaint filed in |   |                      |                               |
| 5  | this action a   | are, as follows:                                      |                      |                               |
| 6  | .   | a. 47 U.S.C. 605 (e)(3)(B)(iii) and (c)(ii):          | \$                   | 110,000.00                    |
| 7  | 1   | b. 47 U.S.C. 553 (b)(2) and (c)(2)(c):                | \$                   | 60,000.00                     |
| 8  |   | c. Conversion:  | TO BE DET            | ERMINED                       |
| 9  |   | d. Violation of Cal. B&P §17200                       | TO BE DET            | ERMINED                       |
| 10 |   | e. Attorneys' fees:                                   | TO BE DET            | ERMINED                       |
| 11 | 1   | f. Costs:   | TO BE DET            | <u>'ERMINED</u>               |
| 12 | Total: TO BE DETERMINED   |   |                      |                               |
|    |   |   |                      |                               |
| 13 | 14. SETTL   | EMENT AND ALTERNATIVE DISPU                           | TE RESOLUTIO         | DN.                           |
| 14 | Plaintiff's   | Position:   |                      |                               |
| 15 | If necessary, Plaintiff proposes a settlement conference before a U.S. District Court   |   |                      | fore a U.S. District Court    |
| 16 | Magistrate Judge as the ADR process in this action.                                     |   |                      |                               |
| 17 |   |   |                      |                               |
| 18 | 15. CONSENT TO JURISDICTION BY A MAGISTRATE JUDGE.                                      |   |                      |                               |
| 19 | Plaintiff's Position:   |   |                      |                               |
| 20 | The Plaintiff does not consent to jurisdiction of this action by a magistrate judge.    |   |                      |                               |
| 21 |   |   |                      |                               |
| 22 | <b>16. OTHE</b>   | R REFERENCE.  |                      |                               |
| 23 | Plaintiff's   | Position:   |                      |                               |
| 24 | Non   | e.  |                      |                               |
| 25 | ///   |   |                      |                               |
| 26 | ///   |   |                      |                               |
| 27 | ///   |   |                      |                               |
| 28 | ///   |   |                      |                               |
|    |   |   |                      |                               |
|    |   | CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT<br>·CV-05644-EMC |                      |                               |

| 1  | 17. NARROWING OF ISSUES.   |   |  |  |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| 2  | Plaintiff's Position:  |   |  |  |
| 3  |  |   | time which issues may be narrowed as           |  |
| 4  |  | The Plaintiff cannot determine at this particular time which issues may be narrowed, as discovery will be necessary to do so. |  |  |
| 5  |  |   |  |  |
| 6  | 18. EXPEI  | DITED SCHEDULE.   |  |  |
| 7  | Plaintiff's  |   |  |  |
| 8  |  | This case will not require an expedited schedule.   |  |  |
| 9  |  |   |  |  |
| 10 | 19. PROP   | OSED DATES:   |  |  |
| 11 | <u>Plaintiff's</u>   | Position:   |  |  |
|    | If n   | ecessary, the Plaintiff proposes the following  | schedule for future proceedings:               |  |
| 12 | a.   | Plaintiff's Last Day to Amend Pleadings:  | October 15, 2014                               |  |
| 13 | b.   | Last Day to Disclose Experts:   | November 1, 2014                               |  |
| 14 | с.   | Expert and Non-Expert Discovery Deadline  | : December 1, 2014                             |  |
| 15 | d.   | Last Day to hear Dispositive Motions:   | January 15, 2015                               |  |
| 16 | e.   | Pre-trial Conference:   | To Be Determined by the Court                  |  |
| 17 | f.   | Trial:  | March 2015                                     |  |
| 18 |  |   |  |  |
| 19 | 20. PROP   | OSED TRIAL SCHEDULE.  |  |  |
| 20 | Plaintiff's Position:  |   |  |  |
| 21 | The Plaintiff believes any trial in this action could be conducted in two (2) to three (3) days. |   | ald be conducted in two (2) to three (3) days. |  |
| 22 | If such becomes necessary, the Plaintiff requests a bench trial to commence in March 2015.       |   | h trial to commence in March 2015.             |  |
| 23 |  |   |  |  |
| 24 | 21. DISCLOSURES OF NON-PARTY INTERESTED PARTIES.   |   |  |  |
| 25 | <u>Plaintiff's</u>   | Position:   |  |  |
| 26 | The  | e Plaintiff has filed the required disclosure sta   | itement.                                       |  |
| 27 | ///  |   |  |  |
| 28 | ///  |   |  |  |
|    |  |   |  |  |
|    |  |   |  |  |
|    | PLAINTIFF'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT<br>CASE NO. 3:13-CV-05644-EMC<br>PAGE 5         |   |  |  |
|    |  |   |  |  |
|    |  |   |  |  |

| 1  | 22. OTHER MATTERS.   |
|----|--|
| 2  | Plaintiff's Position:  |
| 3  | None at this time.   |
| 4  | ///  |
| 5  | ///  |
| 6  | ///  |
| 7  | ///  |
| 8  | ///  |
| 9  | ///  |
| 10 | ///  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 | ///  |
| 22 | ///  |
| 23 | ///  |
| 24 | ///  |
| 25 | ///  |
| 26 | ///  |
| 27 | ///  |
| 28 | ///  |
|    |  |
|    | PLAINTIFF'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT<br>CASE NO. 3:13-CV-05644-EMC<br>PAGE 6 |

| 1  | Signature and Certification By Lead Trial Counsel  |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-12, the undersigned certifies that he or she has read the brochure       |  |
| 3  | entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," discussed the     |  |
| 4  | available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private entities and has considered |  |
| 5  | whether their case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolutions options.            |  |
| 6  |  |  |
| 7  |  |  |
| 8  |  |  |
| 9  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |
| 12 | Date: March 20, 2014 /s/ Thomas P. Riley   |  |
| 13 | LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS P, RILEY, P.C.<br>By: Thomas P. Riley  |  |
| 14 | Attorneys for Plaintiff  |  |
| 15 | J & J Sports Productions, Inc.   |  |
| 16 | ///  |  |
| 17 | ///  |  |
| 18 | ///  |  |
| 19 | ///  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |
| 26 | ///  |  |
| 27 | ///  |  |
| 28 |  |  |
|    |  |  |
|    | PLAINTIFF'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT<br>CASE NO. 3:13-CV-05644-EMC<br>PAGE 7           |  |
|    |  |  |

| 1        | Case Management Order (Proposed)   |
|----------|--|
| 2        | The above Case Management Conference Statement and Proposed Order is approved as the                   |
| 3        | Case Management Order for this case and all parties shall comply with its provisions.                  |
| 4        | In addition the Court makes the further orders stated below:   |
| 5<br>6   | The CMC is reset from 3/27/14 to 6/5/14 at 10:30 a.m. A joint CMC statement shall be filed by 5/29/14. |
| 7        | TESDISTRICT  |
| 8        | SSI AL   |
| 9        | 3/25/14 IT IS SO ORDERED   |
| 10       |  |
| 11<br>12 | Dated:<br>THEZHO THEZHO Chen ZHEN  |
| 12       | United Ita Judge Edward  |
| 14       |  |
| 15       | /// Northern Leasuret of California   /// ///   /// ///  |
| 16       | ///  |
| 17       | ///  |
| 18       | ///  |
| 19       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 21       |  |
| 22       |  |
| 23       |  |
| 24       |  |
| 25       | ///  |
| 26       | ///  |
| 27       | ///  |
| 28       | ///  |
|          | PLAINTIFF'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT<br>CASE NO. 3:13-CV-05644-EMC<br>PAGE 8               |

| 1  | PROOF OF SERVICE (SERVICE BY FIRST CLASS MAIL)   |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | I declare that:  |  |  |
| 3  | I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, California. I am over the age of eighteen years              |  |  |
| 4  | and not a party to the within cause; my business address is First Library Square 1114 Fremont Avenue,    |  |  |
| 5  | South Pasadena, California 91030. I am readily familiar with this law firm's practice for collection and |  |  |
| 6  | processing of correspondence/documents for mail in the ordinary course of business.                      |  |  |
| 7  | On March 20, 2014, I caused to serve the following documents entitled:                                   |  |  |
| 8  | PLAINTIFF'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT   |  |  |
| 9  | On all parties referenced by enclosing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope with postage             |  |  |
| 10 | prepaid and following ordinary business practices, said envelope was addressed to:                       |  |  |
| 11 | Franco Cruz Torres (Defendant)   |  |  |
| 12 | 6192 Mission Street  |  |  |
| 13 | Daly City, CA 94014  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |
| 15 | The fully sealed envelope with pre-paid postage was thereafter placed in our law firm's                  |  |  |
| 16 | outbound mail receptacle in order that this particular piece of mail could be taken to the United States |  |  |
| 17 | Post Office in South Pasadena, California later this day by myself (or by another administrative         |  |  |
| 18 | assistant duly employed by our law firm).  |  |  |
| 19 | I declare under the penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the United States that the                |  |  |
| 20 | foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on March 20, 2014, at South         |  |  |
| 21 | Pasadena, California.  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |
| 24 | Dated: March 20, 2014 /s/ Vanessa Morales  |  |  |
| 25 | VANESSA MORALES  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |
|    |  |  |  |
|    | PLAINTIFF'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT<br>CASE NO. 3:13-CV-05644-EMC<br>PAGE 9                 |  |  |