

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ELSA LAZARTE,
Plaintiff,
v.
VELOCITY EXPRESS LEASING, INC.,
VELOCITY EXPRESS INC., VELOCITY
EXPRESS, LLC.
Defendants.

No. C-13-5654 MMC

**ORDER CONTINUING STATUS
CONFERENCE; DIRECTING PARTIES
TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS
SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED**

Before the Court is the parties' Updated Joint Case Management Statement, filed June 23, 2015, in which plaintiff and defendants Velocity Express Leasing, Inc., and Velocity Express, LLC,¹ jointly request that the Further Status Conference, presently scheduled for June 26, 2015, be continued to August 14, 2015, in light of the parties' agreement to conduct private mediation by July 31, 2015.

Good cause appearing, the parties' request is GRANTED, and the Further Status Conference is hereby CONTINUED to August 14, 2015, at 10:30 a.m. The parties shall file a Joint Case Management Statement no later than August 7, 2015.


The Court notes, however, that the present joint statement is untimely, and further notes that this is the third occasion on which the parties have failed to file a timely

¹Defendant Velocity Express Inc. has not appeared.

1 statement. (See Order, filed July 9, 2014.)² The parties were previously advised that
2 future violations of the Court's orders may subject to the parties to sanctions. (See id.)
3 Accordingly, plaintiff and defendants are hereby DIRECTED to show cause, separately, in
4 writing, and no later than July 2, 2015, why sanctions should not be imposed.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6
7 Dated: June 24, 2015

8 
9 MAXINE M. CHESNEY
10 United States District Judge

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

²Additionally, the parties, once again, did not file a joint statement until after the Clerk contacted the parties to remind them of their obligation to do so.