

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VICTOR GUERRERO,  
Plaintiff,

No. C 13-05671 WHA

v.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
AND REHABILITATION; STATE PERSONNEL  
BOARD; and, in their official capacities,  
JEFFREY BEARD, Secretary of the California  
Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation;  
SUZANNE AMBROSE, Executive Officer of State  
Personnel Board; K. CARROLL, Lieutenant; D.  
SHARP, Sergeant; BARBARA LEASHORE,  
Hearing Officer; C. HESTER, Lieutenant; V.  
MAYOL, Lieutenant; S. COX, Lieutenant; V.  
MYERS, Sergeant; JOHN (or JANE) DOES 1-100,  
all of whose true names are unknown,

**ORDER ADVANCING HEARING  
DATE, RE PAGE LIMITS, AND  
REQUESTING RESPONSES**

Defendants.

---

Now pending are four dueling motions for summary judgment. In light of the substantial overlap, the reply briefs must not exceed **TEN PAGES**. Reply declarations are disfavored. The reply deadline is hereby advanced from April 2 to **APRIL 1 AT NOON**. The hearings on all four motions are hereby advanced from April 16 to **APRIL 14 AT 9:00 A.M.** Please remember that all existing deadlines remain in place despite the pending summary judgment motions. In other words, all pretrial filings and disclosures must be made according to the deadlines stated in the Guidelines for Trial and Final Pretrial Conference in Civil Jury Cases.


Plaintiff preserved a jury demand for his first and second claims for relief. By **APRIL 9 AT NOON**, please file a joint statement confirming (1) whether a jury trial is still requested and

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

(2) which issues and claims would be decided by the jury versus the judge. If a jury trial is requested, please promptly meet-and-confer in a good faith effort to agree on as much language as possible for proposed substantive jury instructions. It is generally unhelpful when each side puts in dueling proposed jury instructions for every issue without reading the other side's proposal and without considering whether there are areas of agreement. By **APRIL 13 AT NOON**, the parties shall please file a joint list of motions *in limine* and the expected length of the trial.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: March 13, 2015.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE