

1 TOMAS E. MARGAIN (SBN 193555)  
[Tomas@JAWLawGroup.com](mailto:Tomas@JAWLawGroup.com)

2 HUY TRAN (SBN 288196)  
[Huy@JAWLawGroup.com](mailto:Huy@JAWLawGroup.com)

3 PHUNG H. TRUONG (SBN 287687)  
[Phung@JAWLawGroup.com](mailto:Phung@JAWLawGroup.com)

4 JUSTICE AT WORK LAW GROUP  
84 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 790  
5 San Jose, CA 95113  
Telephone: (408) 317-1100  
6 Facsimile: (408) 351-0105

7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
MERCÉD TORRES and SIMON ZUNIGA

8 KRISTIN L. OLIVEIRA (SBN 204384)

9 [koliveira@hkemploymentlaw.com](mailto:koliveira@hkemploymentlaw.com)

10 HIRSCHFELD KRAEMER LLP  
505 Montgomery Street, 13th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
11 Telephone: (415) 835-9000  
12 Facsimile: (415) 834-0443

13 Attorneys for Defendants  
DGA SERVICES, INC. dba JIT  
TRANSPORTATION and  
14 BARRETT BUSINESS SERVICES, INC.

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

16 NORTHERN DISTRICT

18 MERCÉD TORRES and SIMON  
ZUNIGA,

19 Plaintiff,

20 vs.

21 DGA SERVICES, INC. dba JIT  
22 TRANSPORTATION; BARRETT  
BUSINESS SERVICES, INC.,

23 Defendants.

Case No. C 13-05734 JST

**JOINT STIPULATION EXTENDING  
DEFENDANTS DGA SERVICES, INC. dba  
JIT TRANSPORTATION AND BARRETT  
BUSINESS SERVICES, INC.'S TIME TO  
RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS'  
COMPLAINT**

**Complaint Filed:** December 11, 2013

24  
25  
26 Plaintiffs Merced Torres and Simon Zuniga ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants DGA Services,  
27 Inc. dba JIT Transportation; Barrett Business Services, Inc. ("Defendants") (collectively "the  
28

1 Parties”), by and through their respective counsel of record, pursuant to Local Rules 6-1 and 6-2,  
2 hereby stipulate and agree that:

3 WHEREAS, counsel for Defendants was only recently engaged in this matter to represent  
4 both named Defendants, DGA SERVICES, INC. dba JIT TRANSPORTATION and BARRETT  
5 BUSINESS SERVICES, INC., and entered an appearance on behalf of both Defendants on  
6 February 28, 2014;

7 WHEREAS, Defendant DGA SERVICES, INC. dba JIT TRANSPORTATION’s  
8 response to the Complaint was originally due February 19, 2014;

9 WHEREAS, Defendant BARRETT BUSINESS SERVICES, INC.’s response to the  
10 Complaint is currently due on March 6, 2014 per the Parties earlier stipulation (Document 11);

11 WHEREAS, Defendants believe it is efficient and cost-effective to file a joint response to  
12 the Complaint on behalf of both named Defendants; and,

13 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs recently filed a Motion to Continue the Initial Case Management  
14 Conference, presently set for March 12, 2014, to May 14, 2014, and to continue related ADR and  
15 meet and confer deadlines in accordance with a new date for the initial case management  
16 conference (Document 12), which awaits the Court’s ruling.

17 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the Parties that Defendants DGA  
18 SERVICES, INC. dba JIT TRANSPORTATION and BARRETT BUSINESS SERVICES, INC.  
19 shall have until **March 18, 2014** to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs’ Complaint.

20 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

21  
22 Dated: February 28, 2014

JUSTICE AT WORK LAW GROUP

23  
24  
25 By: /s/ Phung H. Truong

Tomas Margain

Huy Tran

Phung H. Truong

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

MERCED TORRES and SIMON ZUNIGA

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: February 28, 2014


HIRSCHFELD KRAEMER LLP

By: /s/ Kristin L. Oliveira  
Kristin L. Oliveira  
Attorneys for Defendants  
DGA SERVICES, INC. dba JIT  
TRANSPORTATION and  
BARRETT BUSINESS SERVICES, INC.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 4, 2014

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
HONORABLE  
UNITED STATES JUDGE



HIRSCHFELD KRAEMER LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
SAN FRANCISCO