Finjan, Inc. v. Proo	point, Inc. et al	Doc. 422
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
9	SANTRANCISCO DI VISION	
10	FINJAN, INC.,	Case No. 3:13-CV-05808-HSG
11	Plaintiff,	
12	· ·	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PROOFPOINT, INC. AND ARMORIZE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S MOTION FOR
13	vs. PROOFPOINT, INC. AND ARMORIZE	TECHNOLOGÍES, INC.'S MOTION FOR 12 HOUR EXTENSION OF DEADLINE TO FILE REPLIES IN SUPPORT OF
-	TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	DAUBERT MOTIONS
14 15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Defendants Presentaint Inc. and Armonize Technologies Inc. (collectively "Defendants")	
	Defendants Proofpoint, Inc. and Armorize Technologies, Inc. (collectively "Defendants")	
18	have filed a Motion for 12 Hour Extension of Deadline to File Replies in Support of Daubert	
19	Motions.	
20	Having considered the Motion, and good cause having been shown, the Court GRANTS	
21	Defendants' Motion and ORDERS that the deadline for filing replies in support of Daubert	
22	motions is extended from May 10, 2016 to May 11, 2016 at noon.	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24		
25	Dated:May 10, 2016 Haywood S. Jull	
26	HON. HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. United States District Court Judge	
27	Officed States District Court Judge	
28		
05829-00001/7951541.1		Case No. 3:13-CV-05808-HSG
	II	IPROPOSED1 ORDER