1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JOHN M. NEUKOM (SBN 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com JORDAN R. JAFFE (SBN 254886) jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com ANDREW M. HOLMES (SBN 260475) drewholmes@quinnemanuel.com QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 50 California Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 Attorneys for Plaintiff FORTINET, INC.	<ul> <li>SEAN C. CUNNINGHAM (SBN 174931) sean.cunningham@dlapiper.com</li> <li>KATHRYN RILEY GRASSO (SBN 211187) kathryn.riley@dlapiper.com</li> <li>DAVID R. KNUDSON (SBN 265461) david.knudson@dlapiper.com</li> <li>DLA PIPER LLP (US)</li> <li>401 B Street, Suite 1700</li> <li>San Diego, CA 92101-4297</li> <li>Telephone: 619.699.2700</li> <li>Facsimile: 619.699.2701</li> <li>TODD S. PATTERSON (pro hac vice)</li> <li>todd.patterson@dlapiper.com</li> <li>DLA PIPER LLP (US)</li> <li>401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2500</li> <li>Austin, Texas 78701-3799</li> <li>Telephone: 512.457.7000</li> <li>Facsimile: 512.457.7001</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim</li> <li>Plaintiff SOPHOS LTD.</li> </ul>
15	5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16		
17	FORTINET, INC., a corporation	Case No. 3:13-cv-05831-EMC
18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
19	VS.	STILULATION AND I KULOSED
17	SODIOS INC a correction MICHAEL	ORDER REGARDING
19 20	SOPHOS, INC., a corporation, MICHAEL VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON CLARK, an individual	ORDER REGARDING PROPOSED AMENDED INFRINGEMENT
	VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON CLARK, an individual.	ORDER REGARDING PROPOSED AMENDED
20	VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON	ORDER REGARDING PROPOSED AMENDED INFRINGEMENT
20 21	VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON CLARK, an individual. Defendants. SOPHOS INC. and SOPHOS LTD.,	ORDER REGARDING PROPOSED AMENDED INFRINGEMENT
20 21 22	VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON CLARK, an individual. Defendants. SOPHOS INC. and SOPHOS LTD., corporations,	ORDER REGARDING PROPOSED AMENDED INFRINGEMENT
20 21 22 23	VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON CLARK, an individual. Defendants. SOPHOS INC. and SOPHOS LTD.,	ORDER REGARDING PROPOSED AMENDED INFRINGEMENT
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ul>	VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON CLARK, an individual. Defendants. SOPHOS INC. and SOPHOS LTD., corporations, Counterclaim Plaintiffs,	ORDER REGARDING PROPOSED AMENDED INFRINGEMENT
<ol> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON CLARK, an individual. Defendants. SOPHOS INC. and SOPHOS LTD., corporations, Counterclaim Plaintiffs, vs.	ORDER REGARDING PROPOSED AMENDED INFRINGEMENT
<ol> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON CLARK, an individual. Defendants. SOPHOS INC. and SOPHOS LTD., corporations, Counterclaim Plaintiffs, vs. FORTINET, INC., a corporation,	ORDER REGARDING PROPOSED AMENDED INFRINGEMENT

1	WHEREAS, pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-6, Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant Fortinet, Inc.			
2	("Fortinet") seeks leave to amend its infringement contentions;			
3	WHEREAS, Fortinet served proposed amended infringement contentions on May 22, 2015;			
4	WHEREAS, Fortinet believes it can demonstrate the requisite "timely showing of good cause"			
5	required by Patent L.R. 3-6 to amend its infringement contentions;			
6	WHEREAS, pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-6, Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs Sophos Inc.			
7	and Sophos Ltd. (collectively, "Sophos") seek leave to amend its infringement contentions;			
8	WHEREAS, Sophos served proposed amended infringement contentions on June 5, 2015;			
9	WHEREAS, Sophos believes it can demonstrate the requisite "timely showing of good cause"			
10	required by Patent L.R. 3-6 to amend its infringement contentions;			
11	WHEREAS, Fortinet, in the spirit of compromise, has agreed not to object to Sophos'			
12	proposed amended chart Exhibit A-2 served on June 5, 2015 and Exhibit A-3 served on June 5, 2015			
13	and revised on June 18, 2015;			
14	WHEREAS, Sophos, in the spirit of compromise, has agreed not to object to Fortinet's			
15	proposed amended infringement contentions served on May 22, 2015;			
16	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the parties, subject			
17	to approval of the Court, that:			
18	1) Fortinet may amend its infringement contentions, as reflected in the supplemental			
19	infringement contentions served May 22, 2015;			
20	2) Sophos may amend its infringement contentions, as reflected in the supplemental			
21	infringement contentions charts Exhibit A-2 served on June 5, 2015 and Exhibit A-3 served on June 5,			
22	2 2015, as revised on June 18, 2015.			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]ORDER RE PROPOSED AMENDED INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONSCase No.: 3:13-cv-05831-EMC			

1	DATED: June 18, 2015 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
2	
3	By /s/ John M. Neukom
4	John M. Neukom (Bar No. 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com
5	50 California Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4788
6	Telephone: (415) 875-6600
7	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff FORTINET, INC.
9	DATED: June 18, 2015 DLA PIPER LLP (US)
10	
11	By /s/ Sean C. Cunningham SEAN C. CUNNINGHAM, Bar No. 174931
12	sean.cunningham@dlapiper.com
13	401 B Street, Suite 1700 San Diego, CA 92101-4297
14	Telephone: 619.699.2700
15	Facsimile: 619.699.2701
	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim
16	Plaintiff SOPHOS INC. and Counterclaim Plaintiff SOPHOS LTD.
17	
18	
19	SIGNATURE ATTESTATION
20	Pursuant to Local Rule 5.1(i)(3), I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing
21	of this document has been obtained from David Knudson.
22	/s/ Kristen E. Lovin
23	
24	Kristen E. Lovin
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]Case No.: 3:13-cv-05831-EMCORDER RE PROPOSED AMENDED INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONSCase No.: 3:13-cv-05831-EMC

1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
3	FORTINET, INC., a corporation	Case No. 3:13-cv-05831-EMC		
4	Plaintiff, vs.	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING		
5 6		PROPOSED AMENDED INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS		
7 8	Defendants.			
9	SOPHOS INC. and SOPHOS LTD., corporations,			
10 11	Counterclaim Plaintiffs, vs.			
12	FORTINET, INC., a corporation,			
13	Counterclaim Defendant.			
14				
15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
16		SO ORDERED.		
17	Dated:6/22, 2015 By:	SIAL		
18	HONOR ADVE EDW IT IS SO ORDERED			
19				
20	Judge Edward M. Chen			
21				
22				
23	VDISTRICT OF C			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		3		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE AMENDED INFRINGEMENT C	CONTENTIONS Case No.: 3:13-cv-05831-EMC		