1	IOUNINA NEUVON (CA Der N. 275997)	SEAN C CUNNINCUAN (CA D., N. 174021)			
2	JOHN M. NEUKOM (CA Bar No. 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com	SEAN C. CUNNINGHAM (CA Bar No. 174931) sean.cunningham@dlapiper.com			
3	JORDAN R. JAFFE (CA Bar No. 254886) jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com	KATHRYN RILEY GRASSO (CA Bar No. 211187) kathryn.riley@dlapiper.com DAVID R. KNUDSON (CA Bar No. 265461) david.knudson@dlapiper.com DLA PIPER LLP (US) 401 B Street, Suite 1700 San Diego, CA 92101-4297 Phone: 619.699.2700, Fax: 619.699.2701			
4	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP				
5	50 California Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94111				
6	Phone: (415) 875-6600, Fax: (415) 875-6700				
7	DANIEL B. OLMOS (CA Bar No. 235319) dolmos@nbbolaw.com				
8	NOLAN, BARTON, BRADFORD, OLMOS LLP				
9	600 University Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94301	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff SOPHOS INC. and Counterclaim Plaintiff SOPHOS LTD.			
10	Phone: (650) 326-2980, Fax: (650) 326-9704				
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff FORTINET, INC.				
12					
13					
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
15					
	SAN FRANCISC	CO DIVISION			
15 16 17	SAN FRANCISC FORTINET, INC., a corporation	CO DIVISION Case No. 3:13-cv-05831-EMC			
16 17	FORTINET, INC., a corporation Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:13-cv-05831-EMC			
16 17 18	FORTINET, INC., a corporation Plaintiff, vs.				
16 17 18 19	FORTINET, INC., a corporation Plaintiff, vs. SOPHOS, INC., a corporation, MICHAEL	Case No. 3:13-cv-05831-EMC STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER			
16 17 18 19 20	FORTINET, INC., a corporation Plaintiff, vs.	Case No. 3:13-cv-05831-EMC STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND			
16 17 18 19	FORTINET, INC., a corporation Plaintiff, vs. SOPHOS, INC., a corporation, MICHAEL VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON	Case No. 3:13-cv-05831-EMC STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER			
16 17 18 19 20 21	FORTINET, INC., a corporation Plaintiff, vs. SOPHOS, INC., a corporation, MICHAEL VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON CLARK, an individual. Defendants.	Case No. 3:13-cv-05831-EMC STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	FORTINET, INC., a corporation Plaintiff, vs. SOPHOS, INC., a corporation, MICHAEL VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON CLARK, an individual.	Case No. 3:13-cv-05831-EMC STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	FORTINET, INC., a corporation Plaintiff, vs. SOPHOS, INC., a corporation, MICHAEL VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON CLARK, an individual. Defendants. SOPHOS INC. and SOPHOS LTD., corporations,	Case No. 3:13-cv-05831-EMC STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	FORTINET, INC., a corporation Plaintiff, vs. SOPHOS, INC., a corporation, MICHAEL VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON CLARK, an individual. Defendants. SOPHOS INC. and SOPHOS LTD.,	Case No. 3:13-cv-05831-EMC STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	FORTINET, INC., a corporation Plaintiff, vs. SOPHOS, INC., a corporation, MICHAEL VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON CLARK, an individual. Defendants. SOPHOS INC. and SOPHOS LTD., corporations, Counterclaim Plaintiffs,	Case No. 3:13-cv-05831-EMC STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	FORTINET, INC., a corporation Plaintiff, vs. SOPHOS, INC., a corporation, MICHAEL VALENTINE, an individual, and JASON CLARK, an individual. Defendants. SOPHOS INC. and SOPHOS LTD., corporations, Counterclaim Plaintiffs, vs.	Case No. 3:13-cv-05831-EMC STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER			

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiff and Counterclaim				
2	Defendant Fortinet, Inc., Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs Sophos, Inc. and Sophos Ltd., and				
3	Defendants Michael Valentine and Jason Clark, through their attorneys of record and subject to the				
4	approval of the Court, that pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), all claims and counterclaims in				
5	the above-captioned action are dismissed with prejudice, with each party to bear its own costs,				
6	expenses, and attorneys' fees.				
7	DATED, December 16, 2015 OUNIN EMANUEL UDOLULADT &				
8 9	DATED: December 16, 2015 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP				
10					
11	By /s/ John M. Neukom John M. Neukom (Bar No. 275887)				
12	johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor				
13	San Francisco, California 94111-4788 Telephone: (415) 875-6600				
14	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700				
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff FORTINET, INC.				
16	DATED: December 16, 2015 DLA PIPER LLP (US)				
17					
18	By /s/ Sean C. Cunningham SEAN C. CUNNINGHAM, Bar No. 174931				
19	sean.cunningham@dlapiper.com 401 B Street, Suite 1700				
20	San Diego, CA 92101-4297 Telephone: 619.699.2700				
21 22	Facsimile: 619.699.2701				
22	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff SOPHOS INC. and Counterclaim				
23	Plaintiff SOPHOS LTD.				
25					
26					
27					
28					
	1 Case No.: 3:13-cv-05831-EMC (DMR)				
	STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL				

1	SIGNATURE ATTESTATION			
2	Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing			
3	of this document has been obtained from John Neukom and Sean Cunningham.			
4				
5	/s/ Miles D. Freeman			
6	Miles D. Freeman			
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	2     Case No.: 3:13-cv-05831-EMC (DMR)       STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL			
	511 ULATION OF DISMISSAL			

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. All claims and counter-claims in the					
2	above-captioned action	are dismissed with p	prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and fees.			
3			NTES DISTRICT			
4	Dated:	10/10/0015				
5	Dateu.	12/18/2015	IT IS SO ORDERED			
6			Julie			
7			Judge Edward M. Chen			
8			Judge Edward			
9						
10			THERN DISTRICT OF CEN			
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28			3 Case No.: 3:13-cv-05831-EMC (DMR)			
			3 Case No.: 3:13-cv-05831-EMC (DMR) STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL			