Lyon et al v.	U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement et al		
1	BENJAMIN C. MIZER		
2	Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General WILLIAM C. PEACHEY		
3	Director, District Court Section ELIZABETH J. STEVENS		
4	Assistant Director, District Court Section BRIAN C. WARD		
5	KATHERINE J. SHINNERS JENNIFER A. BOWEN		
_	LAUREN C. BINGHAM		
6	Trial Attorneys, Office of Immigration Litigation U.S. Department of Justice – Civil Division	Ш	
7	P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044		
8	Telephone: (202) 616-9121 Facsimile: (202) 305-7000		
9	Email: Brian.C.Ward@usdoj.gov		
10	Attorneys for Defendants		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14	AUDLEY BARRINGTON LYON, JR., et. al.,	Case No.: 13-cv-05878 EMC	
15	Plaintiffs,		
16	v.	JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING SUBSTITUTION OF DEFENDANTS'	
17	UNITED STATES IMMIGRATION AND	EXPERT WITNESS; [PR OPOS ED] ORDER	
18	CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT, et. al.,	(modified)	
19	Defendants.	CLASS ACTION	
20		-	
21	The Parties to this action hereby agree to the following and seek an order permitting		
22	Defendants to substitute their expert witness and allow Plaintiffs to seek permissible discovery		
23	from the substitute expert. As good cause for the	is request, the Parties state the following:	
24	The deadline to complete expert discover	ry was January 11, 2016. See Dkt. No. 140. The	
25	parties had arranged for Plaintiffs to depose Defe	endants' expert witness Michael Hackett on	
26	January 11, 2016. The week before the schedule	ed deposition, Mr. Hackett informed Defendants	
27	that he was dealing with a family medical crisis.	Several days before the deposition, Mr. Hackett	
28			
	JOII	NT STIPULATION REGARDING SUBSTITUTION OF DEFENDANTS' EXPERT WITNESS	

Dockets.Justia.com

1 informed Defendants that he had just learned that the medical issue was serious enough that he 2 had to withdraw from the case. Defendants have confirmed with Mr. Hackett that he continues 3 to be unavailable. Because Mr. Hackett was forced to withdraw based on a recently-discovered 4 and very serious medical condition of an immediate family member for whom he continues to be 5 the primary caretaker, good cause exists to extend expert discovery and allow Defendants to substitute experts. 6

7 Defendants immediately proposed to Plaintiffs that the Parties jointly move to allow 8 Defendants to designate a substitute expert, and seek to extend both expert discovery and the 9 briefing schedule for the Parties' cross-motions for summary judgment so that Plaintiffs would have sufficient time to depose the substitute expert before responding to Defendants' Cross-10 11 Motion for Summary Judgment. Plaintiffs, however, did not want to disturb the briefing 12 schedule and instead proposed that, if this case was not resolved at the summary judgment stage and Mr. Hackett remained unavailable, Plaintiffs would agree at that time that Defendants could 13 14 proffer a substitute expert for trial as long as the substitute expert's opinions did not expand upon 15 or differ from Mr. Hackett's opinions, did not rely on facts or materials that Mr. Hackett did not 16 review, and that Plaintiffs were given an adequate opportunity to conduct expert discovery.

The trial in this case is scheduled to begin on May 23, 2016. See Dkt. No. 96. To provide Plaintiffs with ample opportunity to conduct expert discovery, the Parties propose that 18 19 (1) Defendants be permitted to substitute Mr. Richard Bryce for Mr. Michael Hackett as rebuttal 20 expert in this case, (2) expert discovery be reopened solely for the purpose of allowing Plaintiffs 15 21 to serve document requests on and depose Defendants' substitute expert on or before April 22, 22 2016, and (3) the motion in limine deadline for any challenge Plaintiffs may have to Defendants' proposed substitute expert be extended to May 5, 2016. will be discussed at the April 19, 2016 23 Pretrial Conference.

This reopening of expert discovery until April $\frac{22}{15}$, 2016, is limited to Defendants' substitute expert witness and will not affect any of the other current deadlines in this case.

> JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING SUBSTITUTION OF DEFENDANTS' EXPERT WITNESS Case No.: 13-cv-05878 EMC -2-

24

25

26

17

1	Dated: March 15, 2016	OFFICE OF IMMIGRATION LITIGATION,
2		CIVIL DIVISION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
3		BENJAMIN C. MIZER
4		Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General
5		WILLIAM C. PEACHEY
6		Director, District Court Section
7		ELIZABETH J. STEVENS Assistant Director, District Court Section
8		
9		By: <u>/s/ Brian C. Ward</u> BRIAN C. WARD
10		KATHERINE J. SHINNERS
11		JENNIFER A. BOWEN LAUREN C. BINGHAM
12		Trial Attorneys, District Court Section P.O Box 868, Ben Franklin Station
		Washington, D.C. 20044
13		Telephone: (202) 616-9121 Email: Brian.C.Ward@usdoj.gov
14		
15		Attorneys for Defendants
16	Dated: March 15, 2016	By: <u>/s/ Julia Harumi Mass</u> JULIA HARUMI MASS (SBN 189649)
17		ANGÉLICA SALCEDA (SBN 296152)
18		MICHAEL T. RISHER (SBN 191627) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
19		FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA 39 Drumm Street
20		San Francisco, CA 94111
21		Telephone: (415) 621-2493 Facsimile: (415) 255-8437
22		Email: jmass@aclunc.org
23		ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
23 24		ROBERT P. VARIAN (SBN 107459) CHARLES J. HA (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
		DAVID KEENAN (pro hac vice)
25		JUDY KWAN (SBN 273930) ALEXIS YEE-GARCIA (SBN 277204)
26		
27		
28		JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING SUBSTITUTION
		OF DEFENDANTS' EXPERT WITNES Case No.: 13-cv-05878 EM0
		-3-

1	A MEDICAN CIVIL A DEDTIES UNION
1	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION NATIONAL PRISON PROJECT
2	CARL TAKEI (SBN 256229) 915 15th Street N.W., 7th Floor
3	Washington, DC 20005
4	Telephone: (202) 393-4930 Facsimile: (202) 393-4931
5	Email: ctakei@aclu.org
6	MARC VAN DER HOUT (SBN 80778) MEGAN SALLOMI (SBN 300580)
7	180 Sutter Street
8	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 981-3000
9	Facsimile: (415) 981-3003
10	Email: msal@vblaw.com
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
12	
13	I, Brian C. Ward, am the ECF user whose user ID and password are being used to file this
14	Stipulation. In accordance with Local Rule $5-1(i)(3)$, I hereby attest that the other signatories listed here have concurred in the filing of this document.
15	
16	Dated: March 15, 2016By: <u>/s/ Brian C. Ward</u> BRIAN C. WARD
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	[PR OPO SED] ORDER
22	DUDSUANT TO STIDULATION IT IS SO ODDEDED (as revised above)
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. (as revised above)
24	ETATES CA
25	March 16, 2016 Dated:
26	Dated:HONORATE IT IS SO ORDERED
27	
28	Zi Judge Edward M. Chen
	JOINT SUP AND SUPSTITUTION
	-4- Case No - 13-er 05878 EMC

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	No. 3:13-cv-05878-EMC		
3	I hereby certify that on this 15th day of March 2016, a true and correct copy of the		
4	foregoing JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING SUBSTITUTION OF DEFENDANTS'		
5	EXPERT WITNESS was served with the Clerk of Court by using the CM/ECF system, which		
6			
7	provided an electronic notice and electronic link of the same to all attorneys of record through		
8	the Court's CM/ECF system.		
9	By: <u>/s/ Brian C. Ward</u> BRIAN C. WARD		
10	Trial Attorney, District Court Section Office of Immigration Litigation		
11 12	United States Department of Justice		
12			
13			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING SUBSTITUTION OF DEFENDANTS' EXPERT WITNES Case No.: 13-cv-05878 EMG -5-		