Exhibit H

Dockets.Justia.com

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 2 TYLER DIVISION 3 EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,) 4 DOCKET NO. 6:09cv446 -vs-5 Tyler, Texas ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC.,) 12:42 p.m. ET AL February 8, 2012 6 7 TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL 8 AFTERNOON SESSION 9 BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEONARD DAVIS, UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE, AND A JURY 10 11 APPEARANCES 12 13 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: 14 15 MR. MIKE McKOOL MR. DOUGLAS CAWLEY 16 MS. ROSEMARY SNIDER MR. TOM FASONE 17 MS. ADA BROWN MS. HOLLY ENGELMANN 18 MR. IVAN WANG McKOOL SMITH 19 300 Crescent Court, Ste. 1500 Dallas, TX 75201 20 21 COURT REPORTERS: MS. JUDITH WERLINGER 22 MS. SHEA SLOAN shea_sloan@txed.uscourts.gov 23 24 Proceedings taken by Machine Stenotype; transcript was produced by a Computer. 25

1

exploit the patent, especially after we had had very
 little success in licensing it to others.

3 Q. And that was before the patent had even 4 issued?

5 A. Yes. And that's usually the case. You know, 6 usually, we try to find a licensee at some point between 7 filing it and issuance of the patent.

8 Q. Is it common that the university may choose to 9 get its inventions out in the world by entering into an 10 agreement with the inventors to actually form a business 11 around the invention?

A. Yes. It's quite common that we license to inventor startups. It's one of the -- one of the -actually, one of the best ways to get early-stage technology out, because the inventors often know the most about the technology and have the best way of -and have the best understanding of how it can be most impactful and used.

19 Q. So what kind of arrangement did the university20 enter into with this company, Eolas?

A. We entered into an exclusive license agreementwith Eolas.

23 Q. What does that mean?

A. We grant the entire right to license the

25 technology to Eolas. So after that point, the

1 university does not entertain any other entreaties for licenses from third parties. 2 Q. So you won't license it to anybody else, 3 except Eolas; is that right? 4 A. It is licensed exclusively. We don't deal 5 with anyone else. 6 7 Q. Okay. But do you continue -- you, the University of California, continue to own the patents? 8 9 A. We do. Now, is this kind of arrangement common 10 Q. 11 between the University of California and young 12 companies --13 Α. This is standard ---- formed around a patent? 14 Q. 15 Α. Sorry. This is our standard approach. And has that original agreement been revised a 16 Ο. 17 few times over the years? Yes, it has. And that's also been very 18 Α. 19 common. 20 Q. Okay. Let me show you Plaintiffs' Exhibit 19. Is this a copy of the current license agreement between 21 22 the University of California and Eolas? 23 A. Yes, it is. But even -- even during various revisions to 24 Q. the original agreement, has it always been the case, and 25

1 right?

-	119110:
2	A. You would get a good education, yes.
3	Q. Right. And not only that, but you also do
4	research on this subject matter, right, sir?
5	A. That is correct.
6	Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. I appreciate you
7	coming here and being the witness for the University of
8	California. Thank you very much. Thank you for your
9	time.
10	MR. CAWLEY: Briefly, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. CAWLEY:
14	Q. Just a couple of questions, Mr. Tucker.
	Q. Just a couple of questions, Mr. Tucker. Are you aware that the University of
14	
14 15	Are you aware that the University of
14 15 16	Are you aware that the University of California joined into this lawsuit, which was filed by
14 15 16 17	Are you aware that the University of California joined into this lawsuit, which was filed by Eolas, because some of the Defendants complained that it
14 15 16 17 18	Are you aware that the University of California joined into this lawsuit, which was filed by Eolas, because some of the Defendants complained that it wasn't Eolas that owned the patents?
14 15 16 17 18 19	Are you aware that the University of California joined into this lawsuit, which was filed by Eolas, because some of the Defendants complained that it wasn't Eolas that owned the patents? A. That's right.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Are you aware that the University of California joined into this lawsuit, which was filed by Eolas, because some of the Defendants complained that it wasn't Eolas that owned the patents? A. That's right. Q. And the university agreed to join, because
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Are you aware that the University of California joined into this lawsuit, which was filed by Eolas, because some of the Defendants complained that it wasn't Eolas that owned the patents? A. That's right. Q. And the university agreed to join, because it's the university that owns the patents, correct?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Are you aware that the University of California joined into this lawsuit, which was filed by Eolas, because some of the Defendants complained that it wasn't Eolas that owned the patents? A. That's right. Q. And the university agreed to join, because it's the university that owns the patents, correct? A. That is correct, and we support our licenses.

130

```
1
                          CERTIFICATION
 2
 3
                   I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a
 4 true and correct transcript from the stenographic notes
 5 of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the
 6 best of our abilities.
 7
 8
 9 /s/ Shea Sloan
   SHEA SLOAN, CSR
10 Official Court Reporter
   State of Texas No.: 3081
11 Expiration Date: 12/31/12
12
13
    /s/ Judith Werlinger
14 JUDITH WERLINGER, CSR
   Deputy Official Court Reporter
15 State of Texas No.: 731
    Expiration Date 12/31/12
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```