

1 PARKER C. ANKRUM (SBN: 261608)
 pankrum@kasowitz.com
 2 REBECCA UNRUH (SBN: 267881)
 runruh@kasowitz.com
 3 KEITH J. MITRO (SBN: 287108)
 kmitro@kasowitz.com
 4 KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
 333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 200
 5 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
 Telephone: (650) 453-5170
 6 Facsimile: (650) 453-5171

COURTLAND L. REICHMAN (SBN: 268873)
 creichman@mckoolsmith.com
 MCKOOL SMITH HENNIGAN, P.C.
 255 Shoreline Drive, Suite 510
 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
 Telephone: (650) 394-1400
 Facsimile: (650) 394-1422

Attorneys for Defendants
 EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES
 INCORPORATED; and THE REGENTS OF
 THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

7 JEFFREY J. TONEY (pro hac vice)
 jtoney@kasowitz.com
 8 JONATHAN K. WALDROP (pro hac vice)
 jwaldrop@kasowitz.com
 9 DARCY L. JONES (pro hac vice)
 djones@kasowitz.com
 10 KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
 1349 West Peachtree Street, N.W., Suite 1500
 11 Atlanta, GA 30309
 Telephone: (404) 260-6133
 12 Facsimile: (404) 260-6081

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 GOOGLE INC.

14
 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 17 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

19 GOOGLE INC.,
 20
 Plaintiff,
 21
 v.
 22 EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES
 23 INCORPORATED; and THE REGENTS
 OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,
 24
 Defendants.

Case No. 13-CV-05997-JST
**JOINT STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR
 GOOGLE INC. TO RESPOND TO
 DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS
 FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION**

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff Google Inc. (“Google”) and
2 Defendants Eolas Technologies Incorporated and The Regents of the University of California
3 (collectively, “Defendants”) hereby stipulate through their respective counsel of record as
4 follows:

5 WHEREAS, on January 2, 2014 and January 3, 2014, Google served its complaint upon
6 Defendants;

7 WHEREAS, on January 22, 2014, at the request of Defendants, the parties agreed to
8 mutual 14 day extensions for Defendants to respond to Google’s complaint and for Google to
9 respond to Defendants’ pleadings or response to Google’s complaint to which a response is
10 allowed;

11 WHEREAS, on January 24, 2014, the parties filed a stipulation to extend the deadline for
12 Defendants to answer or otherwise respond to Google’s complaint to February 7, 2014;

13 WHEREAS, on January 24, 2014, this Court granted the parties stipulation;

14 WHEREAS, on February 7, 2014, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss for lack of
15 jurisdiction in response to Google’s complaint;

16 WHEREAS, Google’s response to Defendants’ motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction
17 is due February 21, 2014;

18 WHEREAS, Defendants’ reply is due February 28, 2014; and

19 WHEREAS, this Court has set a hearing on Defendants’ motion to dismiss for lack of
20 jurisdiction for March 20, 2014 at 2:00 p.m.

21 NOW THEREFORE the parties through their undersigned counsel hereby stipulate and
22 request that the Court grant, pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2 that:

- 23 • The time to file Google’s opposition to Defendants’ motion to dismiss for lack of
24 jurisdiction be extended until March 7, 2014;
- 25 • The time to file the Defendants’ reply to Google’s opposition be extended until
26 March 14, 2014; and
- 27 • The hearing for the Defendant’s motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction be
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

noticed for April 3, 2014, or as soon thereafter at the Court’s convenience.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: February 17, 2014

KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP

By: /s/ Keith J. Mitro
KEITH J. MITRO (SBN: 287108)
kmitro@kasowitz.com
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 200
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Telephone: (650) 453-5170
Facsimile: (650) 453-5171

Attorneys for Plaintiff
GOOGLE INC., INC.

McKool Smith Hennigan, P.C.

Dated: February 17, 2014

By: /s/ Courtland L. Reichman
COURTLAND LEWIS REICHMAN
(SBN 268873)
creichman@mckoolsmith.com
MCKOOL SMITH HENNIGAN PC
255 Shoreline Drive, Suite 510
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Telephone: (650) 394-1400
Facsimile: (650) 394-1422

Attorneys for Defendants
EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED;
and THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY
OF CALIFORNIA

I hereby attest pursuant to L.R. 5.1(i)(3) that concurrence in the electronic filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.

Dated: February 17, 2014

/s/ Keith J. Mitro
Keith J. Mitro

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Court having considered the stipulation of the parties, orders as follows:

- The time to file Google’s opposition to Defendants’ motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction be extended until March 7, 2014;
- The time to file the Defendants’ reply to Google’s opposition be extended until March 14, 2014; and
- The hearing for the Defendant’s motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction be noticed for April 3, 2014, or as soon thereafter at the Court’s convenience.

PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES’ STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 19, 2014

