

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

8 MICHAEL HILL, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON
9 BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY
SITUATED,,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 ROBERT'S AMERICAN GOURMET FOOD,
LLC, ET AL.,

13 Defendant.

14 _____/

15 On September 13, 2013, the Court granted third party VMG's motion to quash the subpoena
16 issued by Plaintiff and its motion for sanctions. Docket No. 14. The Court held that the subpoena
17 should not have been issued and imposed an "undue burden or expense" under Federal Rule of Civil
18 Procedure 45, and that sanctions were warranted. However, the Court noted that Plaintiff had
19 offered not to enforce the subpoena shortly before VMG filed its motions, which was a reasonable
20 step to avoid imposing that undue burden. See Docket No. 14 at 5. Therefore, the Court ordered
21 that attorneys' fees should be awarded only for the work associated with drafting the opening motion
22 to quash and motion for sanctions and filing the motions, not for any work performed after that
23 point. Id.

24 Counsel for VMG, J. Noah Hagey, has submitted a supplemental declaration to support his
25 fee petition. See Docket No. 15 ("Hagey Decl."). He states that his client incurred \$13,148.50 in
26 fees and costs preparing for and filing the two motions, including: 19.5 hours of associate time at
27 \$325/hour (\$6,337.50); 5.8 hours of Mr. Hagey's time at \$695/hour and 2.5 hours of his partner,
28 Matthew Borden's, time, at \$675/hour (\$5,718.50); 2.5 hours of legal assistant time at \$125/hour
(\$312.50); and Westlaw charges (\$780). Hagey Decl. ¶ 3. Mr. Hagey also states that he wrote off

No. C -13-80166(EDL)

ORDER REGARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES

1 approximately \$2,000 in fees for time that was inefficiently or duplicatively spent, and that the rates
2 charged by his firm are competitive, especially considering his and Mr. Borden's many years of
3 experience at prominent law firms and conducting high-stakes complex business litigation. Hagey
4 Decl. ¶¶ 4-7.

5 Plaintiff opposes VMG's fee petition. It argues that a total of 30.3 hours for the preparation
6 of a simple 6-page motion to quash and a 7-page motion for sanctions, plus a declaration, is not
7 reasonable, particularly where the same events are related in all three sets of papers. Plaintiff also
8 objects to the inclusion of Mr. Hagey's correspondence and telephone calls with opposing counsel
9 and with his client. These, Plaintiff argues, are not directly related to "preparing for and filing the
10 motion to quash and the motion for sanctions," as stated in the Court's order. See Opp. at 1; Docket
11 No. 15 at 6. Plaintiff points out that the Court did not consider most of the authority cited by VMG
12 in its motions, but rather concluded that the subpoena was premature because the transfer of the case
13 to the Eastern District of New York left in place the stay of discovery. See Docket No. 14 at 4.
14 Plaintiff claims that VMG's counsel's fees are inflated (although the authority it cites, the Adjusted
15 Laffey Matrix, shows that Mr. Hagey and Mr. Borden may be entitled to \$655 per hour, which is not
16 significantly lower than the \$695 and \$675, respectively, that they claim as their billing rates). Opp.
17 at 2. Finally, Plaintiff objects to VMG's request for the \$780 in Westlaw costs, reasoning that the
18 Court ordered that fees be awarded, not fees and costs.

19 The Court will not lower VMG's counsel's billing rates. Plaintiff is correct that the Court
20 awarded only fees, as opposed to fees and costs, so the Westlaw charges incurred should not be
21 included. Plaintiff is also correct that the Court awarded fees narrowly, only for "preparing for and
22 filing the motions," so the three hours Mr. Hagey spent meeting and conferring with his client and
23 opposing counsel should not be included. The total fee amount awarded is \$10,283.50, which is the
24 total of \$13,148.50, less \$780 in Westlaw charges and \$2,085 for three hours of Mr. Hagey's time at
25 \$695 per hour.

26

27

28

1 IT IS SO ORDERED.
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: 10/11/13


ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE
United States Chief Magistrate Judge