

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

STACY SCIORTINO *et al.*,
Plaintiffs,
v.
PEPSICO, INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. 14-478-EMC, consolidated for pre-trial purposes with Nos. 14-713, 14-1099, 14-1105, 14-1192, 14-1193, 14-1316, 14-2020, 14-2023

CLASS ACTION

~~[PROPOSED]~~ **ORDER TO ADVANCE STATUS CONFERENCE AND CONTINUE TIME FOR DEFENDANT PEPSICO, INC. TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT**

The Honorable Edward M. Chen

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

1
2 Having considered the parties' Stipulation To Advance Status Conference and Continue Time
3 for Defendant PepsiCo, Inc. To Respond to Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint, and good
4 cause appearing, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows:

5 1. The parties (including Plaintiffs Riva/Ardagna) shall submit their joint report
6 regarding the treatment of the negligence/medical monitoring claims by September 8, 2014;

7 2. The Court will review the joint report and if it determines that a hearing is necessary,
8 the status conference will occur on ~~September 18, 2014, at 1:30 p.m.~~, or such other date/time as the
9 Court may order;
10 ~~September 17, 2014 at 10:30 am~~

11 3. The time for Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs' Consolidated
12 Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 68) shall be extended until thirty (30) days from the date this Court
13 issues an order on how the parties should litigate any negligence or medical monitoring claim.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15
16 DATED: 8/27/14



17 The Honorable Edward M. Chen
18 United States District Court Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28