

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STACY SCIORTINO, *et al.*,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
PEPSICO, INC.,  
Defendant.

No. C-14-0478 EMC

**CONSOLIDATED CASES**

No. C-14-0713 EMC  
No. C-14-1099 EMC  
No. C-14-1105 EMC  
No. C-14-1192 EMC  
No. C-14-1193 EMC  
No. C-14-1316 EMC  
No. C-14-2023 EMC

**ORDER RE PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED  
GUIDELINES TO LIMIT COSTS AND  
EXPENSES**

**(Docket No. 70)**

Pursuant to the Court's order (Docket No. 65), co-lead counsel in the *Sciortino* matter has filed proposed guidelines as to costs and expenses, including attorney's fees. *See* Docket No. 70. The Court has reviewed the proposed guidelines and hereby adopts them. Any costs or expenses that fall outside the guidelines set forth in Docket No. 70 shall be presumed unreasonable and shall not be compensable in any award of fees or costs.

In adopting the guidelines set forth in Docket No. 70, the Court does not deem costs or expenses that fall within the limitations to be presumptively reasonable. The Court reminds counsel


///  
///  
///

1 that it retains authority to evaluate the reasonableness of any costs or expenses requested by class  
2 counsel. Fees or costs that technically fall within the letter of the guidelines, but that are duplicative,  
3 unnecessary, or otherwise unreasonable, shall not be approved.

4 This order disposes of Docket No. 70.

5  
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7  
8 Dated: September 18, 2014

9  
10   
11 \_\_\_\_\_  
12 EDWARD M. CHEN  
13 United States District Judge  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28