1	BRUCE L. SIMON (Bar No. 96241)	
	bsimon@pswlaw.com	
2	PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2450	
3	San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-9000	
4	Facsimile: (415) 433-9008	
5	DANIEL L. WARSHAW (Bar No. 185365)	
6	dwarshaw@pswlaw.com PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP	
7	15165 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 400 Sherman Oaks, California 91403	
8	Telephone: (818) 788-8300 Facsimile: (818) 788-8104	
	, , , ,	
9	MARC L. GODINO (Bar No. 182689) info@glancylaw.com	
10	GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100	
11	Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 201-9150	
12	Facsimile: (310) 201-9160	
13	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class	
14	[Additional counsel listed on signature page]	
15		
16	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
16 17		DISTRICT COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA
	NORTHERN DISTR	
17	NORTHERN DISTRESS SAN FRANCI STACY SCIORTINO, et al., on behalf of	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
17 18	NORTHERN DISTRESSENT SAN FRANCI STACY SCIORTINO, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION CASE NO. C 14-0478-EMC Consolidated with Nos. 14-0713, 14-1099, 14-
17 18 19	NORTHERN DISTRESS SAN FRANCI STACY SCIORTINO, et al., on behalf of	ICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION CASE NO. C 14-0478-EMC
17 18 19 20 21	NORTHERN DISTRESSENT SAN FRANCI STACY SCIORTINO, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION CASE NO. C 14-0478-EMC Consolidated with Nos. 14-0713, 14-1099, 14- 1105, 14-1192, 14-1193, 14-1316, 14-2020, 14-2023
17 18 19 20 21 22	NORTHERN DISTRES SAN FRANCI STACY SCIORTINO, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. PEPSICO, INC., a North Carolina	ICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION CASE NO. C 14-0478-EMC Consolidated with Nos. 14-0713, 14-1099, 14- 1105, 14-1192, 14-1193, 14-1316, 14-2020, 14-2023 CLASS ACTION
17 18 19 20 21	NORTHERN DISTRESAN FRANCI STACY SCIORTINO, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v.	CASE NO. C 14-0478-EMC Consolidated with Nos. 14-0713, 14-1099, 14-1105, 14-1192, 14-1193, 14-1316, 14-2020, 14-2023 CLASS ACTION STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE-SETTING HEARING ON DEFENDANT PEPSICO, INC.'S MOTION
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NORTHERN DISTRESAN FRANCI STACY SCIORTINO, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. PEPSICO, INC., a North Carolina Corporation,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION CASE NO. C 14-0478-EMC Consolidated with Nos. 14-0713, 14-1099, 14-1105, 14-1192, 14-1193, 14-1316, 14-2020, 14-2023 CLASS ACTION STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE-SETTING HEARING ON
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	NORTHERN DISTRESAN FRANCI STACY SCIORTINO, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. PEPSICO, INC., a North Carolina Corporation,	CASE NO. C 14-0478-EMC Consolidated with Nos. 14-0713, 14-1099, 14-1105, 14-1192, 14-1193, 14-1316, 14-2020, 14-2023 CLASS ACTION STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE-SETTING HEARING ON DEFENDANT PEPSICO, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS'
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	NORTHERN DISTRESAN FRANCI STACY SCIORTINO, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. PEPSICO, INC., a North Carolina Corporation,	CASE NO. C 14-0478-EMC Consolidated with Nos. 14-0713, 14-1099, 14-1105, 14-1192, 14-1193, 14-1316, 14-2020, 14-2023 CLASS ACTION STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE-SETTING HEARING ON DEFENDANT PEPSICO, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT FROM FEBRUARY 12,
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	NORTHERN DISTRESAN FRANCI STACY SCIORTINO, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. PEPSICO, INC., a North Carolina Corporation,	CASE NO. C 14-0478-EMC Consolidated with Nos. 14-0713, 14-1099, 14-1105, 14-1192, 14-1193, 14-1316, 14-2020, 14-2023 CLASS ACTION STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE-SETTING HEARING ON DEFENDANT PEPSICO, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT FROM FEBRUARY 12, 2015 TO FEBRUARY 19, 2015
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCI STACY SCIORTINO, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. PEPSICO, INC., a North Carolina Corporation, Defendant.	CASE NO. C 14-0478-EMC Consolidated with Nos. 14-0713, 14-1099, 14-1105, 14-1192, 14-1193, 14-1316, 14-2020, 14-2023 CLASS ACTION STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE-SETTING HEARING ON DEFENDANT PEPSICO, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT FROM FEBRUARY 12, 2015 TO FEBRUARY 19, 2015 The Honorable Edward M. Chen
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	SAN FRANCI STACY SCIORTINO, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, V. PEPSICO, INC., a North Carolina Corporation, Defendant. 862106.1 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE-SET.	CASE NO. C 14-0478-EMC Consolidated with Nos. 14-0713, 14-1099, 14-1105, 14-1192, 14-1193, 14-1316, 14-2020, 14-2023 CLASS ACTION STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE-SETTING HEARING ON DEFENDANT PEPSICO, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT FROM FEBRUARY 12, 2015 TO FEBRUARY 19, 2015 The Honorable Edward M. Chen

1	WHEREAS, on August 25, 2014, Plaintiffs filed their Consolidated Amended Complaint;	
2	WHEREAS, on October 24, 2014, Defendant PepsiCo, Inc. filed its Motion to Dismiss	
3	Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint;	
4	WHEREAS, the parties previously stipulated, and the Court ordered, that the hearing on	
5	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss be re-set from January 29, 2015 to February 12, 2015 to	
6	accommodate a scheduling conflict for certain defense counsel (Dkt. No. 91);	
7	WHEREAS, due to a new scheduling conflict for certain Plaintiffs' counsel, the parties	
8	have agreed to seek to re-set the hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss from February 12,	
9	2015 to February 19, 2015;	
10	WHEREAS, this stipulation will not alter the date of any other event or deadline already	
11	fixed by the Court or the parties;	
12	NOW THEREFORE, the parties stipulate that, subject to Court approval, the hearing on	
13	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss shall be re-set to February 19, 2015 at 1:30 p.m., or as soon	
14	thereafter as the Court may order.	
15	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
16		
17	DATED: February 2, 2015 PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP	
18		
19	By: <u>/s/ Daniel L. Warshaw</u> DANIEL L. WARSHAW	
20	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
21	DATED E 1 2 2015 CIRCON DUNN 6 CRUTCHER LLD	
22	DATED: February 2, 2015 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP	
23	Pres /s/Christopher Charles	
24	By: <u>/s/ Christopher Chorba</u> CHRISTOPHER CHORBA	
25	Attorneys for Defendant	
26		
27		
28		

ATTESTATION

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1, I, Daniel L. Warshaw, attest that all signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have authorized the filing.

DATED: February 2, 2015

PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP

/s/ Daniel L. Warshaw By:

DANIEL L. WARSHAW

1 2

ORDER

Having considered the parties' stipulation, the Court hereby orders that the hearing on Defendant PepsiCo, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint is reset to February 19, 2015 at 1:30 p.m. The previously scheduling hearing date of February 12,

2015 for Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is vacated.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: ______2/4/15



362106.1