United States District Court Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	JANE ROE,	Case No. <u>14-cv-00751-HSG</u> (KAW)
8	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER TERMINATING MOTION FOR SANCTIONS
9	FRITO-LAY, INC.,	Re: Dkt. No. 86
10	Defendant.	
11		
12		
13	On April 28, 2016, Plaintiff filed a motion for sanctions against Frito Lay for an alleged	
14	violation of a prior court order. (Dkt. No. 86.) On May 11, 2016, the parties filed a notice of	
15	settlement., so the undersigned vacated the June 2, 2016 hearing on the motion for sanctions and	
16	ordered Plaintiff to either file a notice of withdrawal or renotice the motion following the	
17	upcoming case management conference. (Dkt. Nos. 93 & 98.) Plaintiff did neither. On August 5	
18	2016, the district court granted the motion for preliminary approval of the class action settlement.	
19	(Dkt. No. 103.)	
20	Accordingly, the Court terminates the pending motion for sanctions. Should Plaintiff	
21	decide to pursue the sanctions motion, she may renotice it.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23	Dated: August 9, 2016	$V \rightarrow 1$
24	KANDIS A. WESTMORE	
25		United States Magistrate Judge
26		
27		
28		